arXiv:1211.0012v1 [math.DG] 31 Oct 2012

Moduli Spaces of Abelian Vortices on Kähler Manifolds

J. M. Baptista

October 2012

Abstract

We consider the self-dual vortex equations on a positive line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ over a compact Kähler manifold of arbitrary dimension. When M is simply connected, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of vortex solutions is a projective space. When M is an abelian variety, $\mathcal{M}$ is the projectivization of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L} \longrightarrow \hat{M}$ over the dual variety. We extend this description of the moduli space to general abelian GLSM, i.e. to vortex equations with a torus gauge group acting linearly on a complex vector space. In this case $\mathcal{M}$ becomes a toric orbifold and a toric fibration over a cartesian product of $\hat{M}$'s, respectively. In all these examples we compute the Kähler class of the natural L^2-metric on the moduli space. In the simplest examples we compute the volume and total scalar curvature of $\mathcal{M}$. Finally, in the case of abelian GLSM, we note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a compactification of the space of holomorphic maps from M to toric targets, just as in the usual case of M being a Riemann surface. This leads to various natural conjectures, for instance explicit formulae for the volume of the space of maps $\mathbb{CP}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$.

Keywords : Vortex equations; moduli space; Kähler manifold; L^2-metric; holomorphic maps;

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Vortices on line bundles **4**
- 2.1 General description 4
- 2.2 Case of simply connected manifolds 5
- 2.3 Case of abelian varieties 8

3 Vortices in abelian GLSM **12**
- 3.1 General results 12
- 3.2 Case of simply connected manifolds 18
- 3.3 Case of abelian varieties 21

4 Kähler class and volume of the L^2-metric **23**
- 4.1 Line bundles over simply connected manifolds 23
- 4.2 Line bundles over abelian varieties 25
- 4.3 Abelian GLSM 28
- 4.4 Abelian GLSM with polynomial constraints 30

5 Holomorphic maps to toric manifolds **31**
- 5.1 Vortices and maps to projective space 31
- 5.2 Vortices and maps to toric manifolds 32
- 5.3 Conjectures about the L^2-metrics 37

A Appendices **39**
- A.1 Limits of the vortex equations 39
- A.2 Auxiliary lemmas 40

1 Introduction

The simplest type of vortex equations on a Kähler manifold is defined on a hermitian line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ over the manifold. The variables are a unitary connection A and a smooth section ϕ of L. To each pair (A, ϕ) one associates a positive energy

$$E(A,\phi) \;=\; \int_M \frac{1}{2\,e^2}\,|F_A|^2 \;+\; |\mathrm{d}_A \phi|^2 \;+\; \frac{e^2}{2}\left(|\phi|^2 - \tau\right)^2 , \tag{1.1}$$

where τ and e^2 are positive constants, $\mathrm{d}_A\phi$ is the covariant derivative, and F_A denotes the curvature form of the connection A. All the norms are taken with respect to the hermitian metric on L and the Kähler metric on M. In two dimensions, this energy functional coincides with the usual static energy of the abelian Higgs model.

Assuming that M is compact, a standard Bogomolny-type argument [Bra] shows that the energy is bounded below by a constant,

$$E(A,\phi) \;\geq\; E_{vortex} \;, \tag{1.2}$$

and that this minimum is attained precisely when the pair (A,ϕ) satisfies the so-called self-dual vortex equations. The equations read

$$\bar{\partial}_A \phi \;=\; 0 \tag{1.3}$$

$$\Lambda F_A \;-\; i e^2 \left(|\phi|^2 - \tau \right) \;=\; 0 \tag{1.4}$$

$$F_A^{0,2} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{1.5}$$

where ΛF_A represents the contraction of the curvature with the Kähler form ω_M on M. When M is a Riemann surface, $\Lambda F_A = *F_A$ is just the Hodge-dual and the last equation is trivially satisfied, since every 2-form has vanishing (0,2) Dolbeault component. The energy of a vortex solution on a m-dimensional compact Kähler manifold is given by

$$E_{vortex} \;=\; \int_M \frac{i\tau}{(m-1)!}\, F_A \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \;+\; \frac{1}{2e^2\,(m-2)!}\, F_A \wedge F_A \wedge \omega_M^{m-2} \;. \tag{1.6}$$

It does not depend on the particular solution (A,ϕ) because the cohomology class $[F_A]$ is just the Chern class $-2\pi i\, c_1(L)$, a topological invariant of the line bundle L.

In this article we will study the moduli space of solutions these vortex equations and of another, more general, flavour of vortex equations: those of the abelian gauged linear sigma-model (GLSM). These are written down in (3.1). All these equations and moduli spaces have been widely studied in the case where M is a Riemann surface [JT, Bra, MP, MS, We]. In fact, in two dimensions, the subject has advanced much further than the abelian case, with an impressive body of work about non-abelian vortex equations, i.e. equations defined on vector bundles over M (see for instance [Tha, BDGW, EINOS, NS, Ba3] and the references therein), and vortex equations on bundles with non-linear fibres ([CGMS, Wo, Zi] and the references therein). When M is a higher-dimensional Kähler manifold, however, our understanding of vortex moduli spaces is much less thorough. Important results have already been obtained, such as a version of the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence [Bra, Ban, Mu]. In the abelian case, certain vortex moduli spaces were described as spaces of divisors on M [Ba2]. Nonetheless, for higher-dimensional M there seems to be a general lack of explicit descriptions of the moduli spaces as global manifolds, even in the case of the simplest abelian vortices. Here we try to partially fill in this gap.

In Sections 2 and 3 of this article we give explicit descriptions of the vortex moduli spaces of the abelian GLSM. We mostly work in the case where M is simply connected or is an abelian variety (a higher-dimensional complex torus), although some results apply in greater generality. For the simplest abelian vortices, i.e. for equations (1.3)-(1.5) defined on a line bundle L, the main result of [Bra] implies that the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective space when M is simply connected. When M is an abelian variety, the moduli space is a projective bundle over dual variety $\hat{M}$; more precisely, it follows from an observation in [Bri] that $\mathcal{M}$ is the projectivization of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L} \longrightarrow \hat{M}$ of the original line bundle L. After justifying these remarks in Section 2, we proceed in Section 3 to consider the more general abelian GLSM, showing that in this case the vortex moduli space is a toric orbifold for simply connected M (Proposition 3.6), and that, for abelian varieties, it is a toric fibration over a cartesian product of $\hat{M}$'s (Proposition 3.7). In both these Sections we also work out the topology of the natural "universal" bundle over the product $M \times \mathcal{M}$, a result necessary for the calculations in Section 4.

General arguments say that vortex moduli spaces have a natural Kähler structure [Mu]. The complex structure on $\mathcal{M}$ is canonically induced by the complex structures on M and on L. The compatible Kähler metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be described roughly as follows. If (A_t, ϕ_t) is a one-parameter family of vortex solutions, a tangent vector to this path is represented by the derivative $\dot{\phi}$ – a section of L – and the derivative $\dot{A}$ – a 1-form on M. These derivatives satisfy the linearized vortex equations. The standard metric on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is then determined by the L^2-norm

$$\|\dot{A} + \dot{\phi}\|^2_{\omega_{\mathcal{M}}} \ = \ \int_M \Big(\frac{1}{4e^2} \, |\dot{A}|^2 \ + \ |\dot{\phi}|^2 \Big) \, \frac{\omega_M^m}{m!} \tag{1.7}$$

applied to the horizontal part of the tangent vector, i.e., to the component of $(\dot{A}, \dot{\phi})$ perpendicular to all vectors tangent to gauge transformations. This metric on $\mathcal{M}$ has a well known interpretation as the reduced metric on a (infinite-dimensional) symplectic quotient. In physics, it gives relevant information about the low-energy dynamics of vortices [MS]. It is very hard in general to obtain explicit knowledge about $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$. Our work in Section 4 is to compute the cohomology class $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$ of the Kähler form on the moduli space. We are able to do so both for the simpler model of vortices on line bundles and for the more general abelian GLSM. The base M, as always, can either be simply connected or be an abelian variety. In the simplest examples, our knowledge of the Kähler class $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$ and of the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}$ allows us to write down explicit formulae for the volume and total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$. The results of this Section extend formulae obtained by Manton and Nasir [MN] and the author [Ba4] in the case where M is a Riemann surface. We rely heavily on a description of $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ originally due to Perutz [Pe] and generalized in [BS, Ba4].

In the final part of the paper, Section 5, we look at the relation between vortex moduli spaces in abelian GLSM and spaces of holomorphic maps $M \longrightarrow X$ to toric targets. This is a very familiar and well studied story in the case where M is a Riemann surface. In fact, in two dimensions, one of the main motivations to consider abelian GLSM is that its vortex moduli space is a natural compactification of the space of holomorphic curves in toric varieties, and hence can be used to compute Gromov-Witten invariants of these varieties [Wi, MP, H-al]. Much less attention has been devoted to this line of ideas when M is a higher-dimensional Kähler manifold. Since many of the techniques extend readily from the two dimensional case, in Section 5 we go through this natural generalization, describing the natural embedding $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of the (generally non-compact) space of holomorphic maps into the corresponding (compact) vortex moduli space (Proposition 5.1). One notable difference from the two dimensional case is that $\mathcal{H}$ does not always embed as an open and dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Roughly speaking, this will happen only if the dimension of M is small enough compared to the dimension of the target X (Proposition 5.5) and the line bundles of the GLSM have enough holomorphic sections.

One of our original motivations to study the embedding $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is to compare the natural Kähler metrics on both these spaces. Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is a space of maps between Riemannian manifolds, and hence has a natural L^2-metric $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$. It is natural to ask if there is any relation between $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ and the pullback of the vortex metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the embedding $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Following [Ba4], in Section 5.3 we conjecture that the metric $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the pointwise limit of $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ when the gauge coupling constant e^2 goes to infinity. In particular, when $\mathcal{H}$ embeds as an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$, we should have that

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{H}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}) \;=\; \lim_{e^2 \to +\infty} \mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \ .$$

Taking the limit of the explicit formulae for $\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$ obtained in Section 4, we can thus propose a few conjectural formulae for $\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{H}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$, as well as for the total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{H}, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$. See for instance the Example in Section 5.3. It seems non-trivial to check these formulae by direct computations on $\mathcal{H}$, since this space is not compact and the metric $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ cannot in general be compactified as a smooth metric (it can have unbounded scalar curvature). In the very special instances where direct computations have been performed [Spe], they agreed with analogous conjectures made in [Ba4].

2 Vortices on line bundles

2.1 General description

The simplest type of vortex equations on a m-dimensional Kähler manifold is defined on a hermitian line bundle $L \to M$. The vortex equations are (1.3)-(1.5). Assuming that M is compact, an integration of the second equation over (M, ω_M) shows that a necessary condition for the existence of vortex solutions with non-trivial section ϕ is that

$$\sigma \;:=\; \tau\,\mathrm{Vol}\,M \;-\; \frac{2\pi\, m}{e^2}\,\frac{c_1(L)^{\parallel}}{[\omega_M]}\,\mathrm{Vol}\,M \;>\; 0 \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $\parallel$ denotes the component parallel to the vector $[\omega_M]$ in the euclidean space $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. See Section 3.1 for more details. The real number σ will be called the stability parameter. When this inequality is satisfied there are plenty of vortex solutions, as follows from the basic result of Bradlow:

Proposition 2.1. *[Bra] Assume that condition* (2.1) *is satisfied. Then for any pair* (A, ϕ) *with non-zero* ϕ *satisfying* $\bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0$ *and* $F_A^{0,2} = 0$, *there exists a gauge transformation* $g : M \to \mathbb{C}^*$, *unique up to multiplication by* $U(1)$*-gauge transformations, such that* $(g(A), g(\phi))$ *is a solution of the full vortex equations. All vortex solutions can be obtained in this way.*

So the set of full vortex solutions up to $U(1)$-gauge transformations coincides with the set of solutions of (1.3) and (1.5) up to $\mathbb{C}^*$-gauge transformations. This is an example of a Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence. As is well-known, there is also a bijective correspondence between connections on $L \to M$ satisfying $F_A^{0,2} = 0$ and holomorphic structures on the line bundle [Ko]. Moreover, if A is such a connection, then $\bar{\partial}_A \phi = 0$ if and only if the section ϕ is holomorphic with respect to the holomorphic structure on L induced by A. It follows that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of vortex solutions coincides the space of pairs "holomorphic structure plus a non-zero holomorphic section" on L, up to isomorphism. It is also a standard fact that such pairs are in one-to-one correspondence with effective divisors on M representing the cohomology class $c_1(L)$. So we have:

Proposition 2.2. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and assume that condition* (2.1) *is satisfied. Pick any effective divisor D on M representing the homology class Poincaré-dual to $c_1(L)$. Then there is a solution (A, ϕ) of the vortex equations such that D coincides with the divisor of the zero set of ϕ regarded as a holomorphic section of L. This solution is unique up to $U(1)$-gauge transformations. All vortex solutions are obtained in this way.*

This result means that choosing a vortex solution is equivalent to picking an effective divisor of hypersurfaces on M. When M is a Riemann surface, solutions are determined by a choice of d points on M, where d is the degree of L. In other words, they are determined by a point in the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^d M$. This description of the moduli space as a space of divisors is possible for vortices on line bundles, also called local vortices, but does not in general extend to other types of vortices.

2.2 Case of simply connected manifolds

Moduli space

When the Kähler base M is simply connected, besides describing the vortex moduli space as a space of divisors, it is easy to give a concrete description of $\mathcal{M}$ as a complex manifold.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a m-dimensional, simply connected, compact Kähler manifold, and let $L \longrightarrow M$ be a positive line bundle satisfying condition* (2.1)*. Then the moduli space of vortex solutions on L is*

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{P}\big(H^0(M; L)\big) \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{r(L)-1} ,$$

where $r(L)$ is the complex dimension of the space of holomorphic sections $H^0(M; L)$.

Proof. Since M is simply connected, there is exactly one holomorphic structure on the complex bundle L. This can be recognized through the usual short exact sequence of sheaves that, after standard identifications, implies the exact sequence in the cohomology (see [GH])

$$H^{0,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(M) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H^{0,2}(M, \mathbb{C}) .$$

The holomorphic sections of L then form a vector space $H^0(M; L)$ of finite dimension. The dimension is positive because L is positive by assumption. Scalar multiplication of a section ϕ by a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ corresponds to a (constant) complex gauge transformation, since these leave the holomorphic structure (or the Chern connection) invariant. So the moduli space of Bradlow pairs "holomorphic structure plus a non-zero holomorphic section" on L is, up to isomorphism, $H^0(M; L)\backslash\{0\}$ divided by the scalar action of $\mathbb{C}^*$. $\square$

Remark. To prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective space one does not really need the assumption that M is simply connected. It is enough to assume that $\mathrm{Pic}(M) \simeq H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. that each complex line bundle on M has a unique holomorphic structure. Throughout the paper we could have used this weaker assumption instead of simply connectedness.

Since the moduli space $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{r(L)-1}$ is a projective space, there exists a natural hyperplane bundle $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. As is well known, the cohomology class

$$\eta \;:=\; c_1(H) \qquad \in\; H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$$

generates the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}$.

Examples

(1) When M is a Riemann surface, vortex solutions on a degree d line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ are determined by a choice of d points on M, as described above, so the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^d M$. This is a classic result.

(2) Suppose that M is a compact and simply connected Kähler manifold with $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(M)$ is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. If $E \longrightarrow M$ is a positive generator of the Picard group, the Chern class $c_1(E)$ generates the additive group $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ (this follows from the Lefschetz theorem on (1,1)-classes) and any holomorphic line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ is isomorphic to a tensor product $\otimes^d E$. The integer d is called the degree of L and is determined topologically by

$$c_1(L) \;=\; d \cdot c_1(E) \; . \tag{2.2}$$

Since $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ is one dimensional, there is no need to take the parallel component in inequality (2.1), and that stability condition can be rewritten simply as

$$c_1(L) \;<\; \frac{e^2 \tau}{2\pi\, m}\, [\omega_M] \; .$$

According to Proposition 2.3, for any given line bundle $L = E^d$ the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective space. Its complex dimension is determined by the integer

$$r(L) \;:=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(M; E^d) \; ,$$

which depends only on the manifold M and on the degree d. For instance, when M is the standard projective space $M = \mathbb{CP}^m$, we have $E = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and

$$r(\mathbb{CP}^m, d) \;=\; \frac{(m+d)!}{m!\, d!} \; .$$

When M is the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(n,k)$ of k-planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a generator of $\mathrm{Pic}(M)$ is the pullback by a Plücker embedding of the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over projective space. Equivalently, E is dual to the k-th wedge product of the rank-k tautological bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}(n,k)$. A classic result then says that the space of holomorphic sections of E^d has complex dimension (see [Sa, p.93] and the references therein):

$$r\big(\mathrm{Gr}(n,k),d\big) \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \prod_{j=n-k+1}^{n} \frac{d+j-i}{j-i} \;.$$

(3) Let M be the Hirzebruch surface $F_k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-k)\oplus\mathbb{C})$. This is a bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with fibre $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Both the Picard group and the cohomology $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}$. The standard generators $[F]$ and $[C]$ of the 2-cohomology are Poincaré-dual, respectively, to a fibre of the bundle and to the canonical section of the bundle. Let $L_{a,b}\to F_k$ be the line bundle with Chern class $a[C]+b[F]$, where a and b are positive integers. Then well known identifications of holomorphic sections (see ch.V.2 of [Har]) say that

$$H^0(F_k, L_{a,b}) \;\simeq\; H^0\Big(S^a\big(\mathcal{O}(-k)\oplus\mathbb{C}\big)\otimes\mathcal{O}(b)\Big) \;\simeq\; \bigoplus_{l=0}^{a} H^0\big(\mathcal{O}(-kl+b)\big) \;,$$

and so

$$r(L_{a,b}) \;=\; \dim H^0(F_k, L_{a,b}) \;=\; \sum_{l=0}^{a} \max\{0,\, b-kl+1\} \;.$$

Universal bundle

One of the main objects of study in this paper are the vortex universal bundles over the cartesian product $M\times\mathcal{M}$. In the case of the simplest abelian vortex equations, the universal bundle is just a line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} \;\longrightarrow\; M\times\mathcal{M} \;.$$

It can be constructed in at least two different ways. Regarding $\mathcal{M}$ as the space of effective divisors on M with class $c_1(L)$, the universal bundle corresponds to the divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on the cartesian product $M\times\mathcal{M}$ consisting of the points (x,D) such that x belongs to the support of D. It is clear that, for every $D\in\mathcal{M}$, the restriction $\mathcal{L}|_{M\times\{D\}}$ is isomorphic to $[D]$ as a line bundle over M. In the second construction of $\mathcal{L}$, described for example in [Pe, Ba1], we regard $\mathcal{M}$ as being the quotient $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G}$ of the space of vortex solutions by the group of unitary gauge transformations on L. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained as the quotient bundle $(L\times\mathcal{V})/\mathcal{G}$, where unitary gauge transformations act both on L and on $\mathcal{V}$. If $[A,\phi]$ denotes the gauge equivalence class of a vortex solution, there exists a global section Φ of $\mathcal{L}$ that takes a point $(x,[A,\phi])$ in $M\times\mathcal{M}$ to the point $[\phi(x),A,\phi]$ in the quotient $(L\times\mathcal{V})/\mathcal{G}$. Since the section Φ vanishes exactly along the tautological divisor $\mathcal{D}$, we recognize that $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1([\mathcal{D}])$ and that both constructions are equivalent up to smooth isomorphism. The first construction induces a natural holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{L}$; the second induces a hermitian metric, inherited from the one on L, and a unitary connection $\mathcal{A}$ (see [Pe, Ba1]).

When the manifold M is simply connected, and so $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective space, the universal bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is particularly easy to describe.

Lemma 2.4. *Denote by p_1 and p_2 the projection from the product $M \times \mathcal{M}$ onto the first and second factor, respectively. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $p_1^* L \otimes p_2^* H$. In particular*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \ = \ p_1^* c_1(L) \ + \ p_2^* \eta \ ,$$

where, as described above, η is the first Chern class of the hyperplane bundle $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. The cartesian product $M \times \mathcal{M}$ is simply connected, so the holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{L}$ is determined by its first Chern class. Since each factor has trivial 1-cohomology, necessarily

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \ = \ p_1^* \alpha \ + \ p_2^* \beta$$

with $\alpha \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta \in H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$. The class α is the first Chern class of the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to $M \times \{\text{point}\}$. By construction of $\mathcal{L}$ this restriction coincides with L, so we get that $\alpha = c_1(L)$. The class β is the first Chern class of the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\{\text{point}\} \times \mathcal{M}$, and in [Ba4] it was shown that it coincides with $c_1(H)$. □

2.3 Case of abelian varieties

Moduli space

Let $L \longrightarrow M$ be a complex line bundle over an abelian variety of complex dimension m. Since M is a complex torus, it can be represented as a quotient V/Λ of a m-dimensional complex vector space by a discrete lattice of maximal rank $2m$. Any integral basis $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2m}\}$ for this lattice also spans the full vector space over the reals, so it defines dual real coordinates $\{x^1, \ldots, x^{2m}\}$ on V. The differential 1-forms $\{\mathrm{d}x^1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}x^{2m}\}$ then descend to the quotient M and generate the integral cohomology ring $H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$. It is a standard result that the basis of Λ can be chosen such that the first Chern class of L is simply

$$c_1(L) \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta_j \, \mathrm{d}x^j \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m+j} \tag{2.3}$$

for some integer coefficients $\delta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ [GH]. Now, we want to determine the moduli space of vortex solutions on L. The easiest way to describe this space is to use the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence of [Bra] and think of vortices as pairs "holomorphic structure plus a non-zero holomorphic section on L". On the one hand, since M is an abelian variety, the set of holomorphic structures on L is a complex torus of the same dimension as M, which will be denoted by $\mathrm{Pic}^{c_1(L)} M$. On the other hand, by assumption L is positive, so we know [GH] that for any holomorphic structure on L the dimension of the space of sections is

$$r(L) \ = \ \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(M; L) \ = \ \prod_{j=1}^{m} \delta_j \ . \tag{2.4}$$

An argument similar to the one used in Section 2.2 then shows that the space of Bradlow pairs on L should be a projective bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^{c_1(L)}M$. The fibre above each point L' in this Picard variety will be the projectivization of $H^0(M;L')$. But which bundle is this precisely? The answer can be stated as follows.

The torus $\mathrm{Pic}^{c_1(L)}M$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ of holomorphic line bundles over M with trivial Chern class, usually called the dual variety $\hat{M}$. Consider the Poincaré line bundle $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow M \times \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ with the usual normalization. Denoting by p_1 and p_2 the projections from this cartesian product onto the first and second factors, respectively, we can define the push-forward bundle

$$\hat{L} \ := \ p_{2*}(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^* L) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{Pic}^0 M \ .$$

This is a complex vector bundle of rank $r(L)$ and is called the Fourier-Mukai transform of L (see [BBR, Huy], for instance, for a description of these transforms in a much broader setting). Then according to Brion [Bri] the space of Bradlow pairs on L — or, equivalently, the space of effective divisors on M with class $c_1(L)$ — coincides with the projectivization of $\hat{L}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $L \longrightarrow M$ be a positive line bundle over an abelian variety and suppose that condition* (2.1) *is satisfied. Then the moduli space of vortex solutions on L is a smooth bundle over the torus Pic^0M with typical fibre $\mathbb{CP}^{r(L)-1}$. In fact, the moduli space coincides with the projectivization*

$$\mathcal{M} \ \simeq \ \mathbb{P}(\hat{L})$$

of the Fourier-Mukai transform of L.

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition 3.4 and the description in [Bri, Sect. 5] of the space of effective divisors on M that are Poincaré-dual to the class $c_1(L)$. ☐

Cohomology of the moduli space

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective bundle, there exists a natural "hyperplane" line bundle $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and a natural class

$$\eta \ := \ c_1(H) \qquad \in \quad H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.5}$$

that generates the cohomology of the projective fibres of $\mathcal{M}$. By the Leray-Hirsch theorem, any cohomology class in $H^*(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})$ can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r(L)-1} \eta^k \ \mathrm{proj}^* \gamma_k$$

for some classes $\gamma_k \in H^*(\mathrm{Pic}^0 M;\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathrm{proj}\colon \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ denotes the natural projection. Multiplicatively, these cohomology classes are subject to the standard relation [BT]:

$$\eta^{r(L)} \ + \sum_{k=1}^{r(L)} c_k(\hat{L})\, \eta^{r(L)-k} \ = \ 0 \ .$$

So to obtain the ring structure on $H^*(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})$ we need to know the Chern classes of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L}$. These are determined by the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *The Chern character of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L}$ is*

$$ch\,(\hat{L}) \;=\; \prod_{k=1}^{m} (\delta_k \,-\, \mathrm{d}x_k^* \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+k}^*) \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $\{\mathrm{d}x_k^*\}$ is the basis of $H^1(\hat{M},\mathbb{Z})$ dual to the basis $\{\mathrm{d}x^k\}$ of $H^1(M,\mathbb{Z})$. The total Chern class can then be written as

$$c(\hat{L}) \;=\; \exp\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k(k-1)/2}\,\frac{r}{k}\,\Big(\frac{c_1}{r}\Big)^k\Big] \;=\; \Big(\frac{\exp\big[\arctan\big(c_1/r\big)\big]}{\sqrt{1+(c_1/r)^2}}\Big)^r \ , \tag{2.7}$$

where the integer r is given by (2.4) *and $c_1 = c_1(\hat{L}) = ch_1(\hat{L})$ is the first Chern class.*

Proof. Using the definition of $\hat{L}$, the Chern character can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}(\hat{L}) \;&=\; \mathrm{ch}[p_{2*}(\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^* L)] \;=\; p_{2*}\,\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^* L) \\ &=\; p_{2*}\big[\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{P})\cdot p_1^*\mathrm{ch}(L)\big] \;=\; p_{2*}\Big(\exp\big[c_1(\mathcal{P})\big]\cdot p_1^*\exp\big[c_1(L)\big]\Big) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in the second equality above we have used the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula applied to the projection morphism p_2. The Todd classes of M and $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ do not appear in this formula because both manifolds are Lie groups and hence have trivial tangent bundle. On abelian varieties the first Chern class of the Poincaré line bundle is given by the standard formula [Huy, p.198]

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}) \;=\; \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} \mathrm{d}x^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}x_\alpha^* \ .$$

The Chern class $c_1(L)$ is given by (2.3). The push forward map p_{2*}, on its turn, is just the standard integration over the fibre M of the projection $M\times \mathrm{Pic}^0 M \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$. In particular

$$p_{2*}\Big(\gamma\wedge \mathrm{d}x^1\wedge\cdots\wedge \mathrm{d}x^{2m}\Big) \;=\; \gamma$$

for any class γ in $H^*(\mathrm{Pic}^0 M;\mathbb{Q})$. Using all these elements, an exercise in bookkeeping yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_j(\hat{L}) \;&=\; p_{2*}\Big[\frac{c_1(\mathcal{P})^{2j}}{(2j)!}\cdot\frac{p_1^*\,c_1(L)^{m-j}}{(m-j)!}\Big] \\ &=\; (-1)^j \sum_{\{J\in\sigma\mid \#J=j\}} \Big(\prod_{i\in\{1,\ldots,m\}\setminus J} \delta_i\Big)\Big(\prod_{l\in J} \mathrm{d}x_l^*\wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+l}^*\Big) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted by σ the set of subsets of $\{1,\ldots,m\}$. It is then easy to recognize that the formula above is equivalent to (2.6), as desired.

To obtain the total Chern class of $\hat{L}$, recall that it is related to the Chern character by the standard formulae

$$c(\hat{L}) \;=\; \prod_{k=1}^{m}(1+\alpha_k) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{ch}(\hat{L}) \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_k}$$

for some $\alpha_k \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. In particular we can write

$$\begin{aligned} c(\hat{L}) \;&=\; \exp\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{m}\log(1+\alpha_k)\Big] \;=\; \exp\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{j-1}\frac{(\alpha_k)^j}{j}\Big] \\ &=\; \exp\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{j-1}(j-1)!\,\mathrm{ch}_j(\hat{L})\Big]\;, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where of course all these sums have only a finite number of nonzero terms. Using (2.6) it is straightforward to check the recursion property

$$\mathrm{ch}_j(\hat{L}) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^j}{j\,\delta_1\cdots\delta_m}\,\mathrm{ch}_1(\hat{L})\,\mathrm{ch}_{j-1}(\hat{L}) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^{(j+2)(j-1)/2}}{j!\,(\delta_1\cdots\delta_m)^{j-1}}\,\mathrm{ch}_1(\hat{L})^j\;. \tag{2.9}$$

Then the first equality in formula (2.7) of the lemma can be obtained by substituting (2.9) into the relation (2.8). To deduce the second equality in formula (2.7) just divide the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}\,\frac{r}{k}\Big(\frac{c_1}{r}\Big)^k \tag{2.10}$$

into a sum of the even and odd sub-series and, using the standard Maclaurin expansion of the functions $\log(1+x)$ and $\arctan(x)$, check that (2.10) coincides with the expansion of

$$-\frac{r}{2}\,\log\big[1+(c_1/r)^2\big] \;+\; r\,\arctan(c_1/r)\;.$$

This ends the proof of the lemma. □

Universal bundle

We consider now the universal line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} \;\longrightarrow\; M\times\mathcal{M}\;.$$

defined in Section 2.2. When M is an abelian variety we have the following result.

Lemma 2.7. *Denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections from the product $M\times\mathcal{M}$ onto the first and second factors, respectively. Call* proj *the projection $\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow Pic^{c_1(L)}\simeq Pic^0M$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic as a complex line bundle to the tensor product $(id\times\mathrm{proj})^*\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^*L\otimes p_2^*H$. In particular*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \;=\; p_1^*\,c_1(L) \;+\; p_2^*\,\eta \;+\; (id\times\mathrm{proj})^*\,c_1(\mathcal{P})\;.$$

Proof. Regard $\mathcal{M}$ as the space of effective divisors on M with class $c_1(L)$. The definition of $\mathcal{L}$ in Section 2.2 says that $\mathcal{L}|_{M\times\{D\}} \simeq [D]$ as a holomorphic bundle, hence

$$c_1(\mathcal{L})|_{M\times\{D\}} \;=\; c_1(\mathcal{L}|_{M\times\{D\}}) \;=\; c_1([D]) \;=\; c_1(L)$$

for any divisor $D \in \mathcal{M}$. In particular the restrictions of the complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}\otimes p_1^*L^{-1}$ to submanifolds of the form $M \times \{D\}$ always have trivial first Chern class. Then the universal property of the Poincaré line bundle (see [BBR, p. 84]) guarantees the existence of a unique holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes p_1^*L^{-1}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{id}\times f)^*\mathcal{P} \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a line bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, the map f is determined by the formula

$$f(D) \;:=\; \mathcal{L} \otimes p_1^*L^{-1}\,|_{M\times\{D\}} \;=\; [D] \otimes L^{-1}$$

for any $D \in \mathcal{M}$, so it coincides with the natural projection proj. So we have that

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \;-\; p_1^*\,c_1(L) \;=\; (\mathrm{id}\times \mathrm{proj})^*\,c_1(\mathcal{P}) \;+\; p_2^*\,c_1(\mathcal{N})\ .$$

The normalization of $\mathcal{P}$ is such that its restriction to the subset $\{0\}\times \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ is trivial, so the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ coincides with the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to the submanifold $\{0\}\times\mathcal{M}$. As shown in [Ba4], this last restriction is isomorphic to the hyperplane line bundle $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ associated with the projectivization, and this proves the formula for $c_1(\mathcal{L})$. The expression for $\mathcal{L}$ as a tensor product follows automatically because complex line bundles are determined up to smooth isomorphism by their first Chern class. □

3 Vortices in abelian GLSM

3.1 General results

Let M be a m-dimensional compact Kähler manifold and let ρ be a linear representation of the k-torus on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by Q_j^a the integral weights of the representation, where the indices run as $1 \le j \le n$ and $1 \le a \le k$. Assuming that ρ is effective, the span of the weights $\{Q_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k : j = 1,\dots,n\}$ over the real numbers is the full space $\mathbb{R}^k$. Now take a principal bundle $P \longrightarrow M$ with group T^k and define the line bundles $L_j = P \times_{\rho_j} \mathbb{C}$ over the manifold M associated with the restriction of ρ to the j-th copy of $\mathbb{C}$ inside $\mathbb{C}^n$. With these conventions the vortex equations are written for pairs (A,ϕ), where A is a connection on P and ϕ is a section of the direct sum bundle $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$. They read

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar\partial_A \phi \;=\; 0 \\
&\Lambda F_A \;-\; ie^2\Big[\big(\,\sum_j Q_j\,|\phi^j|^2\,\big) - \tau\Big] \;=\; 0 \\
&F_A^{0,2} \;=\; 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the curvature F_A has values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^k = i\mathbb{R}^k$ and τ is a fixed constant in $\mathbb{R}^k$. The moduli space of solutions to these equations depends on the principal bundle

P and on the values of the constants Q_j and $e^2\tau$. For instance, using that $\omega_M^m/m!$ is the volume form on M, that

$$\Lambda F_A \ \omega_M^m \ = \ m\, F_A \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \ ,$$

and integrating the second vortex equation over (M, ω_M), it is clear that a necessary condition for the existence of vortex solutions with non-trivial ϕ is that the vector

$$(\mathrm{Vol}\, M)\, \tau \ - \ \frac{i}{e^2} \int_M F_A \wedge \frac{\omega_M^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \tag{3.2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^k$ can be written as a linear combination of weights Q_j with non-negative scalar coefficients. Now decompose the T^k-bundle P as a product of circle bundles

$$P = \times_{a=1}^k P^a \ \longrightarrow \ M$$

and consider the Chern classes $c_1(P^a)$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will denote by $c_1(P)$ the vector in the cohomology $\oplus^k H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ with components $c_1(P^a)$. Then, using the standard L^2 inner product of forms to decompose $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ into the real line generated by $[\omega_M]$ and its orthogonal complement, we have that

$$c_1(L) \wedge [\omega_M]^{m-1} \ = \ c_1(L)^{\|} \wedge [\omega_M]^{m-1} \ = \ \frac{c_1(L)^{\|}}{[\omega_M]}\, [\omega_M]^m \ ,$$

where $\|$ denotes the component parallel to $[\omega_M]$ and the first equality is justified by the Lefschetz decomposition [GH, Ch 0.7]. So the vector (3.2) can be written more simply as

$$\sigma \ := \ \tau\, \mathrm{Vol}\, M \ - \ \frac{2\pi\, m}{e^2}\, \frac{c_1(P)^{\|}}{[\omega_M]}\, \mathrm{Vol}\, M \ . \tag{3.3}$$

This is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and, for each component, we are taking the part of $c_1(P^a)$ parallel to $[\omega_M]$ in the cohomology $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. So the first observation is the following.

Lemma 3.1. *A necessary condition for the existence of vortex solutions with non-trivial section ϕ is that:*

(C1) *There exists a non-empty index subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that vector* (3.3) *can be written as a linear combination $\sum_{j \in I} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with positive scalar coefficients λ_j.*

In fact, if (A, ϕ) is a vortex solution, then the index subset $I_\phi = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$ satisfies (C1).

As described above, this is proved through a straightforward integration over M of the second vortex equation. Another important point is to understand whether gauge transformations act freely or not on the space of vortex solutions. This bears on the regularity properties of the quotient moduli space. Note that we have not proved yet existence of vortex solutions. Nevertheless, we can give a condition on the range of parameters σ and Q_j^a that assures that any hypothetical vortex solution would have discrete stabilizer under gauge transformations. We will then proceed to prove existence of vortex solutions whenever the parameters lie in that favourable range.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* (A, ϕ) *be a pair that satisfies the first and third vortex equations. If the weights* $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$ *span the full space* $\mathbb{R}^k$*, then* (A, ϕ) *has a discrete stabilizer under the action of the group of complex gauge transformations. In particular, if*

(C2) *For every index subset* I *in (C1) the weights* $\{Q_j : j \in I\}$ *span the full space* $\mathbb{R}^k$*,*

then all vortex solutions have discrete stabilizer under $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$*-gauge transformations. If in these assertions we demand that the weights generate the full lattice* $\mathbb{Z}^k$*, instead of just* $\mathbb{R}^k$*, then the stabilizers are trivial.*

Throughout this Section we will assume that conditions (C1) and (C2) of the lemma above are satisfied. The main existence result for general GLSM is the proposition stated below. Its proof makes crucial use of a type of Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence obtained in [Ban, Mu] for vortex equations over compact Kähler manifolds.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that* $(C1)$ *and* $(C2)$ *are true. Let* (A, ϕ) *be a pair satisfying the first and third vortex equations. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a gauge transformation* $g : M \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ *such that* $(g(A), g(\phi))$ *solves the full vortex equations, is that the index subset* $I_\phi = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$ *satisfies (C1). When this happens the transformation* g *is unique up to multiplication by* T^k*-gauge transformations. All vortex solutions can be obtained in this way.*

Remark. Roughly speaking, the necessary and sufficient condition above says that not too many components of the section ϕ are allowed to be identically zero. If enough of these components are nonzero, then the condition is always satisfied (see Lemma A.2 in the Appendix). Precisely which components of ϕ are allowed to vanish depends, of course, on the location of the vector (3.3) in the Lie algebra $i\mathbb{R}^k$.

As mentioned before, for a general GLSM the vortex moduli space cannot be described as a space of divisors, in contrast to the case of line bundles studied in Section 2. However, in the particular case of a GLSM with $n = k$, such a description does exist.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that* $n = k$*, that the weights* Q_j *span* $\mathbb{R}^k$*, and that the stability vector* (3.3) *is in the interior of the positive cone generated by the weigths. For each index* $j = 1, \dots, n$ *pick a divisor of analytic hypersurfaces* D_j *on the manifold* M *such that:*

- **(i)** *The homology class carried by each* D_j *is Poincaré-dual to the Chern class* $c_1(L_j) = \sum_a Q_j^a \, c_1(P^a)$ *in the cohomology* $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$*.*

Then there is a solution (A, ϕ) *of the vortex equations* (3.1)*, unique up to* T^k*-gauge transformations, such that* D_j *coincides with the divisor of the zero set of* ϕ^j *regarded as a section of* L_j*. Different choices of divisors provide gauge inequivalent solutions. All vortex solutions are obtained in this way.*

Proofs

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $I_\phi := \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$ and assume that the set of weights $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$ spans the full $\mathbb{R}^k$. We will show that the only infinitesimal gauge transformation $v : M \to i\mathbb{R}^k$ that preserves $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ is the trivial one. This implies that the stabilizer of ϕ is discrete. Recall that infinitesimal gauge transformations act on sections of $\oplus_j L_j$ as

$$(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \longmapsto \mathrm{d}\rho_v(\phi) \;=\; \Big(\sum_a v_a\, Q^a_1\, \phi^1, \ldots, \sum_a v_a\, Q^a_n\, \phi^n \Big) \,.$$

So the vanishing of $\mathrm{d}\rho_v(\phi)$ implies that for every index j either $\phi^j \equiv 0$ or the sum $\sum_a v_a Q^a_j$ is identically zero. In particular the latter sum vanishes for all $j \in I_\phi$. But (C2) says that the weights $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$ span the full $\mathbb{R}^k$, so necessarily $v \equiv 0$, as desired.

Suppose now that the weights $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$ not only span $\mathbb{R}^k$, but also generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ over the integers. Then the vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, for instance, can be written as a linear combination $\sum_{j \in I_\phi} b^j\, Q_j$ for some integers b_j. If a gauge transformation $g : M \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ preserves the section ϕ, then we must have

$$\prod_{a=1}^{k} (g_a)^{Q^a_j} \;=\; 1$$

for all $j \in I_\phi$. This implies that

$$1 \;=\; \prod_{j \in I_\phi} \prod_{a=1}^{k} (g_a)^{b^j\, Q^a_j} \;=\; g_1 \,.$$

Using a similar argument for all the other components of g, we see that g is the constant map to the identity in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.3. The necessity part of the Proposition is clear: if the pair $(g(A), g(\phi))$ solves the full vortex equations, integration over (M, ω_M) of the second vortex equation shows that $I_{g(\phi)} = I_\phi$ satisfies condition (C1). To prove sufficiency we will use the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence of [Ban, Mu]. The first and third vortex equations imply that each component ϕ^j is a holomorphic section of L_j equipped with the holomorphic structure determined by the connection A. By assumption the index subset I_ϕ satisfies condition (C1), so using (C2) we see that the section $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ has discrete stabilizer under T^k-gauge transformations, just like in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Thus in the language of [Mu] our (A, ϕ) is a simple pair. Now let $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathfrak{t}^k$ be the moment map

$$\mu(z) \;=\; -\frac{i}{2} \Big(\sum_j Q_j\, |z^j|^2 \;-\; \tau \Big) \,,$$

associated to the representation ρ. Since the representation is faithful, the image $\mu(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a k-dimensional cone inside the Lie algebra. In our setting the basic result of [Ban, Mu] can then be stated as follows.

Given a simple pair (A, ϕ), there exists a complex gauge transformation that takes it to a solution of the vortex equations iff

$$\int_{x \in M} \Big[\langle v, \Lambda F_A \rangle \;+\; 2\, e^2 \lambda(\phi(x), v) \Big] \frac{\omega_M^m}{m!} \quad > \quad 0 \qquad \textit{for all } v \in i\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \,. \tag{3.4}$$

When it exists, this transformation is unique up to composition with real gauge transformations.

Thus to prove sufficiency and uniqueness we only need to show that our pair (A, ϕ) satisfies (3.4). In this expression $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the euclidean product in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^k = i\mathbb{R}^k$, and, calling $\eta_t^v : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ the gradient flow of $\langle v, \mu \rangle : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, we define for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\lambda(z, v) \ := \ \lim_{t \to +\infty} \langle v, \mu \rangle (\eta_t^v(z)) \ .$$

Since the moment map μ is invariant by the representation ρ, so is λ, and the composition $\lambda(\phi(x), v)$ is well defined. The integral of the first term in (3.4) is

$$\int_M \langle v, \Lambda F_A \rangle \, \frac{\omega_M^m}{m!} \ = \ -\, 2\pi \, i \, m \, (\mathrm{Vol}\, M) \, \frac{\langle c_1(P)^{\|}, v \rangle}{[\omega_M]} \ .$$

As for the the second term, start by noticing that for abelian hamiltonian actions the gradient flow of $\langle v, \mu \rangle$ is related to the exponential map of the complexified group action. In fact,

$$\eta_t^v(z) \ = \ \rho_{\exp(itv)}(z) \ .$$

Using the explicit expressions for ρ and the moment map, it is easy to check that

$$\lambda(z, v) \ = \ \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if for some } j \text{ we have } \langle iQ_j, v \rangle < 0 \text{ and } z^j \neq 0 \ , \\ \langle i\tau, v \rangle / 2 & \text{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

For every $j \in I_\phi$ the section ϕ^j is holomorphic and not identically zero, so it is nonzero almost everywhere on M. It follows that

$$\int_{x \in M} 2\, e^2 \, \lambda(\phi(x), v) \, \frac{\omega_M^m}{m!} \ = \ \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if for some } j \in I_\phi \text{ we have } \langle iQ_j, v \rangle < 0 \ , \\ e^2 \, (\mathrm{Vol}\, M) \, \langle i\tau, v \rangle & \text{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

In the first case it is obvious that (3.4) is satisfied. In the second case, i.e. if for all $j \in I_\phi$ the inner product $\langle iQ_j, v \rangle$ is non-negative, we only have to prove that

$$\big\langle ie^2 \, \sigma, \ v \big\rangle \ > \ 0 \ .$$

This follows from our assumptions: condition (C1) with $I = I_\phi$ implies that the inner product above is non-negative; condition (C2) guarantees that it is non-zero. This completes the proof of the sufficiency and uniqueness parts. Finally, since for any vortex solution (A, ϕ) the subset I_ϕ satisfies condition (C1) — a fact already discussed and proved by integrating the second vortex equation — we see that all vortex solutions can be obtained by this method of complex gauge transformations. □

Proof of Proposition 3.4. We start by showing that each valid choice of divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ leads to a vortex solution. Firstly, condition (i) and standard results on line bundles [GH] guarantee that each nonzero divisor D_j defines a holomorphic structure on $L_j \longrightarrow M$ and a holomorphic section $\phi^j \in \Gamma(L_j)$ such that D_j is the divisor of the zero set of ϕ^j. For each index j, let B_j be the Chern connection on the bundle L_j. Then the system of n equations and k variables

$$B_j \;=\; \sum_a Q_j^a\, A_a \qquad \text{for all } j$$

has a unique solution A, since by assumption $n = k$ and the vectors Q_j form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$. Therefore the local 1-forms $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ define a connection on the principal bundle $P \longrightarrow M$. By construction we have that

$$\bar{\partial}_A \phi \;=\; (\ldots, \bar{\partial}_{B_j} \phi^j, \ldots)_{1 \leq j \leq k} \;=\; 0 \;,$$

that

$$\sum_a Q_j^a\, F_{A_a}^{0,2} \;=\; F_{B_j}^{0,2} \;=\; 0 \;,$$

and, since the Q_j's form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$, also that $F_A^{0,2} = 0$. Thus the pair (A, ϕ) satisfies the first and third vortex equations. Moreover, the index set I_ϕ is the full $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, so the stated assumptions on the stability vector (3.3) imply that condition (C1) is satisfied. So we can apply Proposition 3.3 to obtain a complex gauge transformation $g : M \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ such that $(g(A), g(\phi))$ is a solution of the full vortex equations. Since complex gauge transformation do not change the divisor of the zero set of sections, we have obtained a full vortex solution with divisor $D_1, \ldots, D_n$, as desired.

The uniqueness part of the proof is standard. Let (A, ϕ) and (A', ϕ') be two vortex solutions such that for all j the sections ϕ^j and ϕ'^j of L_j have the same zero set divisor $D_j \subset M$. Then the quotients $g_j = (\phi')^j / \phi^j$ are nowhere vanishing sections of the trivial bundle over M, and so define a complex gauge transformation $g : M \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. It is clear that $g(\phi) = \phi'$ and that, locally,

$$\bar{\partial}\phi' \;+\; Q^a\, A'_a\, \phi' \;=\; \bar{\partial}_{A'} \phi' \;=\; 0 \;=\; g[\, \bar{\partial}_A \phi\,] \;=\; \bar{\partial}_{g(A)}\, g(\phi) \;=\; \bar{\partial}\phi' \;+\; Q^a\, g(A_a)\, \phi' \;.$$

This implies that $\sum_a Q_j^a\, A'_a \;=\; \sum_a Q_j^a\, g(A_a)$ for all j. Since the vectors Q_j form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$, we conclude that $A' = g(A)$. This means that the solutions (A, ϕ) and (A', ϕ') are related by a complex gauge transformation. The uniqueness part in Proposition 3.3 then guarantees that g actually has values on the real torus T^k.

To see that all vortex solutions are obtained in this way, just observe that if (A, ϕ) is a vortex solution, we can take the divisors D_j to be those defined by the zero set of ϕ^j. Then the homology class of D_j is Poincaré-dual to $c_1(L_j)$ and, by the uniqueness proved above, the solution associated to these divisors by the existence part of the Proposition will be T^k-gauge equivalent to (A, ϕ). Finally, different choices of divisors provide gauge inequivalent solutions because gauge transformations do not change the divisor of the zero set of sections. $\square$

3.2 Case of simply connected manifolds

Assume that the compact Kähler manifold M is also simply connected. Then line bundles over M have unique holomorphic structures, as in Section 2.1. The space V of all holomorphic sections of $\oplus_j L_j$ splits as a direct sum

$$V \;=\; \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} H^0(M, L_j) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{\,r(L_j)} \;. \tag{3.5}$$

If we let the complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ act on each subspace $\mathbb{C}^{\,r(L_j)}$ by multiplication with weights $Q_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we get a global torus action on V. Call V_{ss} the invariant subset of V defined by the sections ϕ such that vector (3.3) can be written as a linear combination $\sum_{j\in I_\phi} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive coefficients, where $I_\phi = \{j \in \{1,\dots,n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$. With these definitions we can state the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.5. *Let M be a compact and simply connected Kähler manifold. Suppose that conditions (C1) and (C2) of Section 3.1 are satisfied. Then the moduli space of vortex solutions is isomorphic to the complex quotient*

$$\mathcal{M} \;\simeq\; V_{ss}/(\mathbb{C}^*)^k \;. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark. This result implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is a toric orbifold. If condition (C2) is substituted by the stronger assumption that the weights $\{Q_j : j \in I\}$ generate the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$, then the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-quotient will be smooth and $\mathcal{M}$ will be a toric manifold.

Remark. If not empty, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ has complex dimension $\dim V - k$. In fact, it is a consequence of Lemma A.2 that V_{ss}, if not empty, is an open dense subset of V. In this case we also know that the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ on V_{ss} has at most discrete stabilizers, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Therefore the dimension of the quotient is $\dim V - k$. Note that this is true only if (C1) and (C2) are satisfied.

Remark. Consider the vector $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^k$ defined in (3.3) and choose global complex coordinates $\{w^{j,l_j}\}$ on the vector space V. The map $V \longrightarrow i\mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$\nu(w) \;=\; -\frac{i}{2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{l_j=1}^{r(L_j)} Q_j\, |w^{j,l_j}|^2 \;-\; \sigma\Big) \tag{3.7}$$

is a moment map for the T^k-action on V equipped with the euclidean metric. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is diffeomorphic to the symplectic quotient $\nu^{-1}(0)/T^k$. Observe how the stability vector σ determines the level of the symplectic quotient.

Proof. The hardest part of the proof is encapsuled in Proposition 3.3 above. It is a consequence of this result that all vortex solutions can be obtained as $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-gauge transformations of pairs (A, ϕ) that satisfy the first and third vortex equation and the additional condition:

(1) The vector (3.3) can be written as a linear combination of weights $\sum_{j\in I_\phi} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients for the index subset $I_\phi = \{j \in \{1,\dots,n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$.

As usual, the solutions of the first and third vortex equations are in one-to-one correspondence, up to isomorphism, with holomorphic sections of the bundle $\oplus^j L_j$ equipped with its unique holomorphic structure. So we are looking for the holomorphic sections in $V = \oplus^j H^0(M, L_j)$ that satisfy (1), and these define a subset V_{ss} of V. From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know that all the points in V_{ss} have discrete stabilizer under the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-action on V. Finally, since the action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ on V determined by ρ corresponds to a (constant) gauge transformation of the sections $(\phi^1, \ldots, \phi^n)$, to obtain the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ one must quotient V_{ss} by this torus action. □

The representation of the vortex moduli space as a toric quotient allows us to define a natural set of cohomology classes in $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})$. In fact, observing that $V_{ss} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-bundle, we can consider the associated line bundle $H_a = V_{ss} \times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^a} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, where the notation means that $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ by simple multiplication of the a-th $\mathbb{C}^*$-factor inside $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. We then define

$$\eta_a \ := \ c_1(H_a) \qquad \text{in} \quad H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for} \ \ a = 1, \ldots, k. \tag{3.8}$$

These cohomology classes are standard in toric geometry and are known to generate the cohomology ring of the toric quotient $\mathcal{M}$.

Universal bundle

Abelian GLSM deal with connections on a principal bundle $P \longrightarrow M$ with gauge group T^k. If we regard the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ as the quotient $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G}$ of the space of vortex solutions by the group of gauge transformations $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Map}(M \to T^k)$, then the quotient

$$\mathcal{U} := (P \times \mathcal{V})/\mathcal{G} \ \longrightarrow \ M \times \mathcal{M}$$

is also a T^k-bundle. It is called the universal bundle. The decomposition $P = \times_{a=1}^k P^a$ as a product of circle bundles induces a similar decomposition $\mathcal{U} = \times_{a=1}^k \mathcal{U}^a$.

In this paragraph we will describe the topology of the universal bundle $\mathcal{U}$, which is determined by the Chern classes $c_1(\mathcal{U}^a)$. We assume that conditions (C1) and (C2) of Section 3.1 are true, so that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is described by Proposition 3.5. Moreover, we assume that in condition (C2) the weights generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$, instead of just spanning $\mathbb{R}^k$, so that $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth manifold.

Lemma 3.6. *Denote by p_1 and p_2 the projections from the product $M \times \mathcal{M}$ onto the first and second factor, respectively. Then the first Chern classes of the universal circle bundles are*

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \ = \ p_1^* c_1(P^a) \ + \ p_2^* \eta_a$$

in the cohomology $H^2(M \times \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$, where the classes η_a were defined in (3.8).

Proof. Both M and the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ are simply connected, so the first Chern class of $\mathcal{U}^a$ is necessarily of the form

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \ = \ p_1^* \gamma_M \ + \ p_2^* \gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$$

for some classes γ_M in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$. The class γ_M coincides with the first Chern class of the restriction of the bundle $\mathcal{U}^a$ to $M \times \{\text{point}\}$. Choosing a particular vortex solution (A, ϕ), we have that

$$\mathcal{U}^a\,|_{M\times\{[A,\phi]\}} \;=\; \big(P^a \times [(A,\phi)\cdot\mathcal{G}]\,\big)/\mathcal{G} \;\simeq\; P^a \;,$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the fact that the $\mathcal{G}$-action on the space of vortex solutions is free (cf. Lemma 3.2). Thus $\gamma_M = c_1(P^a)$. Similarly, the class $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ coincides with the first Chern class of the restriction of the bundle $\mathcal{U}^a$ to $\{\text{p}\} \times \mathcal{M}$, where p is any point in M. To prove that this is just η_a, we will use an argument similar to the one in [Ba4]. Start by considering the subgroup of gauge transformations

$$\mathcal{G}_p \;:=\; \big\{ g : \Sigma \to T^k \text{ such that } g(p) = (1,\ldots,1)\;\big\} \;.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{G}$ splits as $\mathcal{G}_p \times T^k$. Denoting by P_p the fibre of the principal bundle above the point p, we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^a\,|_{\mathcal{M}\times\{p\}} \;&=\; (\mathcal{V}\times P_p)\,/\,\mathcal{G} \;=\; [(\mathcal{V}\times P_p)/\mathcal{G}_p]\,/\,T^k \\ &=\; [(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G}_p)\times P_p]\,/\,T^k \;\simeq\; \mathcal{V}\,/\,\mathcal{G}_p \;, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where in the last step we have used that the T^k-action on the fibre P_p is free and transitive. So we conclude that the restriction of $\mathcal{U}^a$ to $\mathcal{M} \times \{p\}$ is isomorphic to the T^k-bundle $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Consider now the space of pairs that satisfy the first and third vortex equations:

$$\mathcal{B} \;=\; \{(A,\phi) :\; \bar\partial_A\phi = 0 \;\text{ and }\; F_A^{0,2} = 0\} \;.$$

Define a gauge invariant subset $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B}$ by only taking the pairs (A, ϕ) such that the stability vector σ is in the positive cone generated by $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$. Observe firstly that by Lemma 3.2 the group $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex gauge transformations acts freely on $\mathcal{B}^*$. Secondly, Lemma 3.1 says that all vortex solutions are in this subset, which implies that $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}^*$. Finally, Proposition 3.3 guarantees that $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \mathcal{V}$, and hence that

$$\mathcal{B}^* \;=\; \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\mathcal{V} \;. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows that the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{B}^*/\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with a complex quotient. Moreover

$$\mathcal{B}^*/\mathcal{G}_p^{\mathbb{C}} = \;\big[(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}/\mathcal{G}_p^{\mathbb{C}})\cdot\mathcal{V}\big]\,/\,\mathcal{G}_p \;=\; [(\mathbb{C}^*)^k\cdot\mathcal{V}]\,/\,\mathcal{G}_p$$

as a principal $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-bundle over the moduli space. But the complex quotient $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{G}_p^{\mathbb{C}}$ is just the space of holomorphic sections of $\oplus_j L_j$ up to isomorphism, or in other words it is the vector space V of (3.5). The subset $\mathcal{B}^*/\mathcal{G}_p^{\mathbb{C}}$ only contains the holomorphic sections such that the stability vector σ is in the positive cone generated by $\{Q_j : j \in I_\phi\}$, or in other words it is the subset V_{ss} defined below (3.5). This means that the associated line bundles $H_a \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined above (3.8) are just

$$H_a \;:=\; V_{ss}\times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^a}\mathbb{C} \;=\; [(\mathbb{C}^*)^k\cdot\mathcal{V}]\,/\,\mathcal{G}_p\;\times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^a}\;\mathbb{C}\;\simeq\;\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{G}_p\;\times_{(U(1))^a}\;\mathbb{C} \;\;.$$

Finally, using the isomorphism established in the first part of the proof, we conclude that

$$H_a \;\simeq\; \mathcal{U}\,|_{\{p\}\times\mathcal{M}}\times_{U(1)^a}\mathbb{C} \;,$$

and hence that $c_1(H_a) = c_1(\mathcal{U}^a\,|_{\{p\}\times\mathcal{M}}) = \gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$. ☐

3.3 Case of abelian varieties

As in the case of simply connected manifolds, the easiest way to describe the vortex moduli space when M is an abelian variety is to make use of Proposition 3.3. This result says that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the complex moduli space of pairs (A, ϕ) satisfying the first and third vortex equations and the additional condition:

(1) *The vector* (3.3) *can be written as a linear combination of weights* $\sum_{j \in I_\phi} \lambda^j Q_j$ *with positive scalar coefficients for the index subset* $I_\phi = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \phi^j \not\equiv 0\}$.

Here A is a connection on the k-torus bundle $P \to M$ and ϕ is a section of the associated bundle $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$ described in Section 3.1. Now, a pair (A, ϕ) satisfies the first and third vortex equations iff A defines a holomorphic structure on P and the section ϕ is holomorphic with respect to the induced holomorphic structure on $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$. The set of holomorphic structures on P is (non-canonically) isomorphic to the k-fold cartesian product $\times^k \operatorname{Pic}^0 M$. Identifying the set of holomorphic structures on each associated line bundle $L_j = P \times_{\rho_j} \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Pic}^0 M$, the group multiplication

$$m_{\rho_j} : \times^k \operatorname{Pic}^0 M \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^0 M \qquad\qquad (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \longmapsto \prod_{a=1}^k (g_a)^{Q_j^a}$$

corresponds to the operation of inducing a holomorphic structure from P to L_j. This is true because the tensor product of line bundles corresponds to multiplication on the Picard group. Thus for a given choice $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ of holomorphic structure on P, we are looking for sections of L_j with holomorphic structure $m_{\rho_j}(g)$, and from the discussion of Section 2.2 these define a vector space isomorphic to $(\hat{L_j})_{m_{\rho_j}(g)}$, the fibre of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L_j} \to \operatorname{Pic}^0 M$ above the point $m_{\rho_j}(g)$. Letting the point $g \in \times^k \operatorname{Pic}^0 M$ vary, we conclude that the space of pairs "holomorphic structure on P plus holomorphic section of $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$" is isomorphic to the total space of the vector bundle

$$V := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n m_{\rho_j}^* \hat{L_j} \longrightarrow \times^k \operatorname{Pic}^0 M . \tag{3.11}$$

As in the case of simply connected manifolds, some of the points of V still represent holomorphic sections related to each other by constant $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-gauge transformations. In fact, the action ρ_j of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ on the components ϕ_j of a section translates into scalar multiplication with weight $Q_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ on the fibres of each summand $m_{\rho_j}^* \hat{L_j}$ of the bundle. This defines a global action of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ on the total space of the vector bundle V. The orbits of this action consist of pairs related by constant gauge transformations, and hence must be identified with a single point in the moduli space. So we must quotient V by the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-action. Finally, Proposition 3.3 says that we should not quotient the whole V but only the invariant subset V_{ss} defined by the holomorphic sections ϕ that satisfy condition (1) above. We are thus lead to the following result.

Proposition 3.7. *Let M be an abelian variety and suppose that conditions (C1) and (C2) of Section 3.1 are true. Then the moduli space of vortex solutions is isomorphic to the* *complex quotient*

$$\mathcal{M} \;\simeq\; V_{ss}/(\mathbb{C}^*)^k \;. \tag{3.12}$$

In particular there is a natural bundle $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \times^k \mathit{Pic}^0 M$ *whose typical fibre is a toric orbifold.*

Remark. As in the case of simply connected M, if condition (C2) is substituted by the stronger assumption that the weights $\{Q_j : j \in I\}$ generate the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$, then the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-quotient above will be a smooth manifold. Also, note in passing that if all bundles L_j do not have any non-zero holomorphic sections, then condition (1) above is never satisfied and $V_{ss} = \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$.

Remark. As mentioned above, the fibres of the the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L_j}$ are isomorphic to a space of sections of $L_j = P \times_{\rho_j} \mathbb{C}$. So a choice of hermitian metric on L_j will induce a L^2 hermitian metric on $\hat{L_j}$. Doing this for every j we get a hermitian metric on the vector bundle V. Using this metric it is possible to consider the moment map $\nu : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by a formula analogous to (3.7), and hence represent $\mathcal{M}$ as a symplectic quotient $\nu^{-1}(0)/T^k$.

The representation of the vortex moduli space as a toric quotient allows us to define a natural set of cohomology classes in $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})$. Just as in the case of simply connected M, described at the end of Section 3.2, we can consider the associated line bundles $H_a = V_{ss} \times_{(\mathbb{C}^*)^a} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and define

$$\eta_a \;:=\; c_1(H_a) \qquad \text{in} \;\; H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}) \;\; \text{for} \;\; a = 1, \ldots, k. \tag{3.13}$$

These cohomology classes generate the cohomology ring of the fibres of $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \times^k \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$. By the Leray-Hirsch theorem, the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}$ is generated by the η_a's and the pullbacks of classes on the base $\times^k \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ of the bundle.

Universal bundle

In this paragraph we compute the Chern classes of the universal bundle $\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow M \times \mathcal{M}$ in the case where M is an abelian variety. The notation and assumptions are the same as in the case of simply connected M (see the paragraph of Lemma 3.6).

Lemma 3.8. *Denote by* p_1 *and* p_2 *the projection from the product* $M \times \mathcal{M}$ *onto the first and second factor, respectively. Then the first Chern classes of the universal circle bundles are*

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \;=\; p_1^* c_1(P^a) \;+\; p_2^* \eta_a \;+\; (\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{proj}_a)^* c_1(\mathcal{P})$$

in the cohomology $H^2(M \times \mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$*, where the classes* η_a *were defined in* (3.13).

Proof. In this proof we will denote by the same symbol both $\mathcal{U}^a \longrightarrow M \times \mathcal{M}$ and the associated complex line bundle over the same base; the same applies to the circle bundle $P^a \longrightarrow M$. As was seen above, there are k projections

$$\mathrm{proj}_a \;:\; \mathcal{M} \;\longrightarrow\; \times^k \mathrm{Pic}^0 M \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{Pic}^0 M \;,$$

where the last arrow is the a-th projection from the cartesian product. In terms of vortex solutions, proj_a takes an equivalence class $[A, \phi]$ to the holomorphic structure on P^a determined by the a-th component of the integrable T^k-connection A, which we will denote by $(P^a)_A$. Taking the tensor product $(P^a)_A \otimes (\tilde{P^a})^{-1}$, where $\tilde{P^a}$ denotes a fixed holomorphic structure P^a, we get and element of $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$, as desired.

In terms of vortex solutions, the natural holomorphic structure on the line bundle $\mathcal{U}^a$ can be described in the following way. The proof of Lemma 3.6 says that $\mathcal{U}^a$ can be regarded as a complex quotient

$$\mathcal{U}^a := (P^a \times \mathcal{V})/\mathcal{G} \ = \ (P^a \times \mathcal{B}^*)/\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \ .$$

The space $P^a \times \mathcal{B}^*$ has a natural complex structure determined by the one on $\mathcal{B}^*$ and by the rule that, on the tangent space $T_p P^a \oplus T_{[A,\phi]}\mathcal{B}^*$ at a given point, the complex structure on the first summand is the one of the holomorphic bundle $(P^a)_A$. This complex structure is preserved by complex gauge transformations, and hence induces the complex structure on the quotient $\mathcal{U}^a$. Now, an argument just like in the proof of Lemma 3.6 says that the restriction of $\mathcal{U}^a$ to a submanifold of the form $M \times \{[A, \phi]\}$ is

$$\mathcal{U}^a \mid_{M\times\{[A,\phi]} \ = \ \big((P^a)_A \ \times \ [(A, \phi) \cdot G_{\mathbb{C}}] \big)/\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \ \simeq \ (P^a)_A \ .$$

In particular the restriction of $\mathcal{U}^a \otimes p_1^*(\tilde{P^a})^{-1}$ to the same submanifold has trivial first Chern class. The universality property of the Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow M \times \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ then guarantees that the existence of a unique holomorphic map $f_a : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ such that the bundle $\mathcal{U}^a \otimes p_1^*(\tilde{P^a})^{-1}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{id} \times f_a)^* \mathcal{P} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{N}_a$, where $\mathcal{N}_a$ is a circle bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, the map f_a is determined by

$$f_a\big([A, \phi]\big) \ := \ \mathcal{U}^a \otimes p_1^*(\tilde{P^a})^{-1} \mid_{M\times\{[A,\phi]\}} \ = \ (P^a)_A \otimes (\tilde{P^a})^{-1} \ = \ \mathrm{proj}_a\big([A, \phi]\big) \ .$$

So we have that

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \ - \ p_1^* \, c_1(\tilde{P^a}) \ = \ (\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{proj}_a)^* \, c_1(\mathcal{P}) \ + \ p_2^* \, c_1(\mathcal{N}_a) \ .$$

The normalization of $\mathcal{P}$ is such that its restriction to the subset $\{0\} \times \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ is trivial, so the line bundle $\mathcal{N}_a$ coincides with the restriction of $\mathcal{U}^a$ to the submanifold $\{0\} \times \mathcal{M}$. An argument similar to one in the proof of Lemma 3.6 then says that this last restriction is isomorphic to the complex line bundle $H_a \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. This justifies the formula for $c_1(\mathcal{U}^a)$. □

4 Kähler class and volume of the L^2-metric

4.1 Line bundles over simply connected manifolds

In Section 2 we have seen that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of abelian vortices on a positive line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ is a projective space whenever the base manifold is simply connected. Since the cohomology ring of projective spaces is very simple, in this instance it is easy to calculate the volume of $\mathcal{M}$ once we know the Kähler class of the L^2-metric (1.7). This class can be computed using a formula of Perutz [Pe], as generalized in [BS, Ba4], that expresses the Kähler form on $\mathcal{M}$ in terms of the curvature $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the universal connection on the universal line bundle $\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \times M$. The formula says that the Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the L^2-metric is given by the fibre integral

$$\omega_{\mathcal{M}} \;=\; \int_M \frac{i\tau}{2\,m!}\, F_{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \omega_M^m \;+\; \frac{1}{4e^2(m-1)!}\, F_{\mathcal{A}} \wedge F_{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \;. \tag{4.1}$$

(In passing, we note the remarkable similarity between the integral above and the integral in (1.6), a similarity for which the author cannot offer a good explanation.) Taking the cohomology class on both sides of the equation, we get that

$$\big[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}\big] \;=\; \int_M \frac{\pi\,\tau}{m!}\, c_1(\mathcal{L}) \wedge \big[\omega_M\big]^m \;-\; \frac{\pi^2}{e^2(m-1)!}\, c_1(\mathcal{L}) \wedge c_1(\mathcal{L}) \wedge \big[\omega_M\big]^{m-1} \tag{4.2}$$

in $H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$. But we know what the Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is in the case of simply connected manifolds: it is given by Lemma 3.6. Using that formula, the fact that $\omega_M^m/m!$ is the volume form on M, and the fact that, by Lefschetz's decomposition,

$$c_1(L) \wedge [\omega_M]^{m-1} \;=\; c_1(L)^{\parallel} \wedge [\omega_M]^{m-1} \;,$$

the fibre integration in (4.2) can be performed to yield

$$\big[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}\big] \;=\; \pi\,\sigma\; c_1(H) \;, \tag{4.3}$$

where σ is the stability parameter (2.1) and $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the hyperplane bundle over projective space. Observe how σ effectively parametrizes the Kähler class of the L^2-metric on the moduli space. So we get:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $L \longrightarrow M$ be a positive line bundle over a m-dimensional, simply connected, compact Kähler manifold. If condition* (2.1) *is satisfied, the volume of the L^2-metric on the vortex moduli space is*

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \;=\; \frac{(\pi\,\sigma)^{\,r-1}}{(r-1)!} \;,$$

where σ is the positive number (2.1) *and r is the complex dimension of $H^0(M, L)$. The total scalar curvature of the moduli space is*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} s(\omega_{\mathcal{M}})\;\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{M}} \;=\; \frac{2\pi\, r\,(r-1)}{\big[(r-1)!\big]^{1/(r-1)}}\;\big(\mathrm{Vol}\,\mathcal{M}\big)^{(r-2)/(r-1)} \;. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Since we already know the Kähler class on the moduli space $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{r(L)-1}$, the total volume of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$ follows directly from the standard formula

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,\mathcal{M} \;=\; \frac{1}{(\dim \mathcal{M})!} \int_{\mathcal{M}} [\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]^{\dim \mathcal{M}} \;.$$

Similarly, the total scalar curvature follows from the equally standard formula

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} s(\omega_{\mathcal{M}})\;\mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{M}} \;=\; \frac{2\pi}{(\dim \mathcal{M} - 1)!} \int_{\mathcal{M}} c_1(\mathcal{M}) \wedge [\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]^{\dim \mathcal{M} - 1} \;,$$

and the observation that for projective space $c_1(\mathcal{M}) = (\dim \mathcal{M} + 1) \cdot c_1(H)$. ☐

Observations

(1) When M is Riemann surface the volume and total scalar curvature of the vortex moduli space were computed in [MN, Ba4].

(2) When M is a compact and simply connected Kähler manifold with $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, it is possible to express the parameter σ, and hence $\operatorname{Vol}\mathcal{M}$, solely in terms of $\operatorname{Vol} M$, with no explicit reference to the Kähler class $[\omega_M]$. Using the notation of Example (2) in Section 2.2, we can write

$$\frac{c_1(L)^{\parallel}}{[\omega_M]} \;=\; \frac{c_1(L)}{[\omega_M]} \;=\; \frac{d}{\big(t_M^{-1}\, m! \operatorname{Vol} M\big)^{1/m}} \ ,$$

where d is the degree of L, m is the complex dimension of M, and t_M is the positive topological number defined by

$$t_M \;:=\; \int_M c_1(E)^m \ .$$

Observe that when M is a projective space, the number t_M is equal to 1. When M is the complex Grassmannian, the generator E of the Picard group is the pullback by a Plücker embedding $\mathrm{Gr}(n,k) \to \mathbb{CP}^r$ of the hyperplane bundle over projective space. It is then easy to check that the topological number t_M coincides the degree of the Grassmannian as a projective variety in $\mathbb{CP}^r$, which is known to be [HP, XIV.7]

$$t_{\mathrm{Gr}(n,k)} \;=\; \big(k(n-k)\big)! \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(j-1)!}{(n-k+j-1)!} \ .$$

(3) Let M be the Hirzebruch surface $F_k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}(-k) \oplus \mathbb{C})$. We will use the notation of Example (3) of Section 2.2. Consider the line bundle $L_{a,b} \longrightarrow F_k$ whose first Chern class is $a[C] + b[F]$ and write the Kähler class of the surface as $[\omega_M] = \lambda[F] + \delta[C]$. Then, using the standard intersection numbers of the divisors $[C]$ and $[F]$ (see ch.V.2 of [Har]), we get that $\operatorname{Vol} M \;=\; \delta\,(2\lambda - k\delta)/2$ and that

$$\frac{c_1(L_{a,b})^{\parallel}}{[\omega_M]} \operatorname{Vol} M \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big(a\lambda + b\delta(1-k)\Big) \ .$$

4.2 Line bundles over abelian varieties

When M is an abelian variety, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective bundle over the dual variety $\hat{M} = \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$, as was seen in Section 2.2. The Kähler class $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$ of the L^2-metric on the moduli space is given by formula (4.2), just as in the case of simply connected manifolds. The only difference is that the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ of the universal bundle is now given by Lemma 2.7. Using this lemma, it is relatively straightforward to perform the fibre integral in (4.2) and hence obtain a formula for $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $L \longrightarrow M$ be a positive line bundle over an m-dimensional abelian variety. If condition* (2.1) *is satisfied, the Kähler class of the L^2-metric on the vortex moduli space is*

$$\big[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}\big] \;=\; \pi\,\sigma\,c_1(H) \;-\; \frac{2\pi^2}{e^2}\,\mathrm{proj}^*\,\mathcal{F}\Big(\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!}\Big)\;, \tag{4.5}$$

where σ is the stability parameter defined in (2.1)*, $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the hyperplane bundle in* (2.5)*,* proj *is the natural projection $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \hat{M}$, and $\mathcal{F} : H^{2m-2}(M;\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(\hat{M};\mathbb{R})$ denotes the cohomological Fourier-Mukai transform.*

Proof. We use expression (4.2) for the Kähler class $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$ and the formula

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \;=\; p_1^*\,c_1(L) \;+\; p_2^*\,\eta \;+\; (\mathrm{id}\times\mathrm{proj})^*\,c_1(\mathcal{P})$$

given in Lemma 2.7. Start by observing that the first two terms in this formula coincide with the expression for $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ in the case of simply connected manifolds. In particular when we substitute these two terms into (4.2) and perform the fibre integration we will obtain the result $\pi\,\sigma\,c_1(H)$, just as in the case of simply connected manifolds (cf. formula (4.3)). When we substitute the last term of $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ into the right hand side of (4.2) we get the additional term

$$-\frac{\pi^2}{e^2}\int_M (\mathrm{id}\times\mathrm{proj})^*\Big(c_1(\mathcal{P})\wedge c_1(\mathcal{P})\wedge\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!}\Big) \;=\; -\frac{2\pi^2}{e^2}\,\mathrm{proj}^*\int_M \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{P})\wedge\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!}\;.$$

This term coincides with the last term in (4.5), by definition of $\mathcal{F}$. □

Remark. When $[\omega_M]$ is an integral class we can write $\omega_M = c_1(B)$ for some line bundle $B \longrightarrow M$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}\Big(\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!}\Big) \;=\; c_1(\hat{B}),$$

where the vector bundle $\hat{B} \longrightarrow \hat{M}$ is the Fourier-Mukai transform of B.

Remark. The dual variety $\hat{M} = \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ coincides with the moduli space of integrable $U(1)$-connections on M with zero mean curvature, i.e. connections that satisfy $\Lambda F_A = 0 = F_A^{0,2}$. This moduli space has a natural L^2-metric defined by the first term in (1.7), i.e. by

$$\|\dot{A}\|^2 \;=\; \int_M \frac{1}{4e^2}\,k_{ab}\,\dot{A}_1^a\wedge *_M\,\dot{A}_2^b$$

Then the last term in (4.5), before pulling back by proj^*, is exactly the Kähler class of this L^2-metric on the moduli space $\hat{M}$ of connections.

Now that we know the Kähler class on the moduli space, the next task is to compute the total volume of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$. Recall in the case of abelian varieties the moduli space is a projective bundle $\mathrm{proj} : \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{P}(\hat{L}) \longrightarrow \hat{M}$. Therefore the integral of the volume form can be performed in two steps: first integrate over the projective fibres and then integrate over the base $\hat{M}$. That is

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,\mathcal{M} \;=\; \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]^{m+r-1}}{(m+r-1)!} \;=\; \int_{\hat{M}} \frac{\mathrm{proj}_*\,[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]^{m+r-1}}{(m+r-1)!}\;.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\hat{L})$, the fibre-integration $\mathrm{proj}_* : H^*(\mathcal{M},\mathbb{R}) \to H^{*-r+1}(\hat{M},\mathbb{R})$ can be conveniently expressed in terms of the Segre class of $\hat{L}$. This class is defined as the inverse of the total Chern class by the formal expansion of

$$s(\hat{L}) \ = \ \frac{1}{c(\hat{L})} \ = \ \frac{1}{1 + c_1(\hat{L}) + c_2(\hat{L}) + \cdots} \ .$$

As explained for instance in [BDW], it has the useful property that

$$\mathrm{proj}_* \left(c_1(H)^l \right) \ = \ s_{l-r+1}(\hat{L}) \ ,$$

where $H \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the hyperplane bundle and we are using the convention that $s_j(\hat{L})$ is zero for negative j. It is then straightforward to compute that

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M},\, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \ = \ \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{(\pi\,\sigma)^{\,l+r-1}\,(-2\pi^2/e^2)^{m-l}}{(l+r-1)!\,(m-l)!} \int_{\hat{M}} s_l(\hat{L}) \ \wedge \ \mathcal{F}\Big(\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!}\Big)^{m-l} \ , \qquad (4.6)$$

To carry out the integration over the dual variety $\hat{M}$ one needs an explicit expression for the Segre class and for the Fourier-Mukai transform. The former can in principle be obtained by expanding the expression for $c(\hat{L})$ given in Lemma 2.6, although computationally this is non-trivial. The latter depends of course on the particular Kähler form ω_M that we take on M. Here we will not perform the integration over $\hat{M}$ in the general case. We will do it only for abelian varieties of complex dimension one and two.

Examples

(1) When M has complex dimension one, i.e when it is an elliptic curve, the natural identification $H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ leads to the formulae $c_1(L)^{\|} = c_1(L) = \deg L$ and $[\omega_M] = \mathrm{Vol}\, M$. Moreover, the Fourier-Mukai transform of 1 is just $-\theta$, where θ stands for the positive generator of $H^2(\hat{M},\mathbb{Z})$. Hence our formula for the Kähler class coincides with the one given in [MN, Pe].

(2) Let M have complex dimension $m = 2$. Using the notation of Section 2.3, we can choose a set $\{\mathrm{d}x^k\}$ of generators of $H^1(M,\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$c_1(L) \ = \ \delta_1\, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m+1} \ + \ \delta_2\, \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m+2} \ ,$$

for some positive integers δ_k. If we choose a Kähler form on M of the form

$$\omega_M \ = \ \lambda_1\, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m+1} \ + \ \lambda_2\, \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{m+2} \ ,$$

where the λ_k's are positive real numbers, then

$$\mathrm{Vol}\ M \ = \ \lambda_1\,\lambda_2 \ \ , \qquad\qquad \frac{c_1(L)^{\|}}{[\omega_M]}\,\mathrm{Vol}\, M \ = \ \frac{1}{2}\,(\delta_1\,\lambda_2 \ + \ \delta_2\,\lambda_1) \ .$$

The formulae of Lemma 2.6 can be applied to obtain

$$s(\hat{L}) \;=\; \frac{1}{c(\hat{L})} \;=\; 1 \;-\; c_1(\hat{L}) \;+\; \frac{r+1}{2r}\, c_1(\hat{L})^2 \;,$$

where the first Chern class of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{L} \longrightarrow \hat{M}$ is

$$c_1(\hat{L}) \;=\; -\,\delta_2\, \mathrm{d}x_1^* \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+1}^* \;-\; \delta_1\, \mathrm{d}x_2^* \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+2}^* \;.$$

In this expression the $\mathrm{d}x_k^*$'s are the generators of $H^1(\hat{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ dual to the $\mathrm{d}x^k$'s. Similarly, the cohomological Fourier-Mukai transform of the Kähler class of M is

$$\mathcal{F}([\omega_M]) \;=\; -\,\lambda_2\, \mathrm{d}x_1^* \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+1}^* \;-\; \lambda_1\, \mathrm{d}x_2^* \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{m+2}^* \;.$$

The volume of the vortex moduli space can then be explicitly computed through (4.6). The result is

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \;=\; \pi\,(\mathrm{Vol}\, M)\,\Big[\, \tau \;+\; \frac{4\pi^2\, r}{e^4\,\sigma} \,\Big]\, \frac{(\pi\,\sigma)^r}{r!} \;,$$

where $r = r(L) = \delta_1 \delta_2$ and σ is the parameter (2.1).

4.3 Abelian GLSM

The Kähler class

In this section we will compute the Kähler class of the metric on the moduli space for general abelian gauged linear sigma-models. This will be done both when M is simply connected and when it is an abelian variety. The essential ingredient is the abstract formula of Perutz for the Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$, or, more precisely, its generalization to gauged sigma-models and higher dimensional manifolds [Ba4]. This formula presents $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ as the fibre integral

$$\omega_{\mathcal{M}} \;=\; \int_M \sum_{a=1}^{k} \frac{i\,\tau_a}{2\,m!}\, F_{\mathcal{A}}^a \wedge \omega_M^m \;+\; \frac{1}{4e^2(m-1)!}\, F_{\mathcal{A}}^a \wedge F_{\mathcal{A}}^a \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \;, \tag{4.7}$$

where $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the curvature on the universal bundle $\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow M \times \mathcal{M}$. Since this is a principal T^k-bundle, the curvature is a 2-form on the base with values in $i\mathbb{R}^k$. Taking the cohomology class we then have

$$[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}] \;=\; \int_M \sum_{a=1}^{k} \frac{\pi\,\tau_a}{m!}\, c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \wedge \omega_M^m \;-\; \frac{\pi^2}{e^2(m-1)!}\, c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \wedge c_1(\mathcal{U}^a) \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \;. \tag{4.8}$$

When M is simply connected the vortex moduli space is the toric manifold given by Proposition 3.5. We will assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth, i.e. in condition (C2) of Section 3.1 we will assume that the weights generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Then the Chern classes $c_1(\mathcal{U}^a)$ are given explicitly by Lemma 3.6. It is now straightforward to perform the fibre integration and obtain:

Proposition 4.3. *Let M a compact and simply connected Kähler manifold. The Kähler class of the L^2-metric on the vortex moduli space* (3.6) *is*

$$\big[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}\big] \ = \ \sum_{a=1}^{k} \pi\, \sigma^a \ \eta_a \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where σ is the stability vector (3.3) *and the classes $\eta_a \in H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ were defined in* (3.8).

When the manifold M is an abelian variety, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is the toric fibration over $\mathrm{Pic}^0(M)$ described in Proposition 3.7. The Chern classes $c_1(\mathcal{U}^a)$ are in this case given by Lemma 3.8. The fibre integration over M can be performed in a way completely analogous to the case of vortices on line bundles (cf. proof of (4.5)) to yield:

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be an abelian variety. The Kähler class of the L^2-metric on the vortex moduli space* (3.12) *is*

$$\big[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}\big] \ = \ \sum_{a=1}^{k} \pi\, \sigma^a \ \eta_a \ - \ \frac{2\pi^2}{e^2}\, \mathrm{proj}_a^*\, \mathcal{F}\Big(\frac{[\omega_M^{m-1}]}{(m-1)!} \Big) \ ,$$

where σ is the stability vector (3.3)*, the classes $\eta_a \in H^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$ were defined in* (3.13) *and $\mathcal{F}$ is the cohomological Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F} : H^{2m-2}(M; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(\hat{M}; \mathbb{R})$.*

Volumes of moduli spaces

The volume of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$ can in principle be computed using our knowledge of the Kähler class $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}]$ and of the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})$. For a general abelian GLSM, however, the intersection calculations in the cohomology ring are algebraically evolved, since the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ may be a complicated toric manifold or, in the case of abelian varieties, may be an even more complicated toric fibration over the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}^0(M)$. So it is difficult to present a clean and general formula for the volume or total scalar curvature of the moduli space. In this paragraph we will carry out the computations only for the simplest examples. These will be GLSM with group $U(1)$ and n sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$, where $U(1)$ acts with weight 1. As we will recall in Section 5, these models are closely related to holomorphic maps $M \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. We will perform the calculations in the case where M is simply connected or when it is an abelian variety of complex dimension 2.

(1) Suppose that $P \longrightarrow M$ is a $U(1)$-principal bundle over a simply connected manifold. Using the notation of Section 3, we will consider the associated GLSM with integral weights $Q_1 = \cdots = Q_n = 1$. In this case the line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ are all isomorphic, so the ϕ_j's can be regarded as sections the same line bundle, which we call simply L. Proposition 3.6 says that for this model the vortex moduli space is the projective space

$$\mathcal{M} \ \simeq \ \mathbb{CP}^{\, n\, r(L)\, -\, 1} \ .$$

Proposition 4.3 says that the Kähler class is $[\omega_{\mathcal{M}}] = \pi\, \sigma\, \eta$, where η is the standard positive generator of the cohomology ring of projective space. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}\, (\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \ = \ \frac{(\pi\, \sigma)^{n\, r - 1}}{(nr-1)!} \ . \tag{4.10}$$

The total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$ is still given by formula (4.4). A few explict values of $r(L)$ for explict manifolds M are given in the examples of Section 2.2.

(2) Consider the same GLSM as above, but now over an abelian variety M of complex dimension 2, as is Example (2) of Section 4.2. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is in this case a projective bundle over the dual variety $\hat{M}$ with fibre $\mathbb{CP}^{n\,r(L)\,-\,1}$. Computations similar to those of Section 4.2 then lead to

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \;=\; \pi\,(\mathrm{Vol}\, M)\,\Big[\,\tau \,+\, \frac{4\pi^2\, n\, r}{e^4\, \sigma}\,\Big]\,\frac{(\pi\,\sigma)^{nr}}{(nr)!}\;.$$

4.4 Abelian GLSM with polynomial constraints

In this paragraph we make a short digression to consider GLSM with polynomial constraints. These models are relevant to the study of holomorphic maps from M to projective varieties [MP]. Take the simple case of the gauged linear sigma-model with group $U(1)$ and weights $Q^1_1 = \cdots = Q^1_n = 1$. Then all the complex line bundles L_j are isomorphic to a given $L \to M$. The variables in the vortex equation are a connection A and n sections $\phi^1, \ldots, \phi^n$ of this bundle. Now let $S(z^1, \ldots, z^n)$ be a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree l. It makes sense to write the equation

$$S(\phi^1, \ldots, \phi^n) \;=\; 0 \tag{4.11}$$

in the space of sections of the bundle $\otimes^l L$. We can thus look for vortex solutions of the model that satisfy the additional constraint (4.11). This will define a subspace $\mathcal{M}^S$ of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$.

When L is positive and $\mathrm{Pic}(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and the stability condition (2.1) is satisfied, Proposition 3.5 states that the vortex moduli space for this GLSM is the projective space $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{n\,r(L) - 1}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}^S$ will then be a projective variety inside $\mathcal{M}$. In fact, choosing a basis of the $r(L)$-dimensional space $H^0(M, L)$ and a basis of the $r(\otimes^l L)$-dimensional space $H^0(M, \otimes^l L)$, the vector-valued polynomial equation (4.11) can be rewritten as a set of $r(\otimes^l L)$ complex-valued homogeneous polynomial equations of degree l. The variables of these equations are the components of the sections ϕ^j with respect to the chosen basis of $H^0(M, L)$, which are precisely the homogeneous coordinates on the projective space $\mathcal{M}$. In general the projective variety $\mathcal{M}^S \subset \mathbb{CP}^{n\,r(L)-1}$ may not be smooth. All depends on the polynomial S and on the values $r(L)$. For a generic choice of S, however, the constrained moduli space $\mathcal{M}^S \subset \mathcal{M}$ is indeed a smooth projective variety of complex dimension $n\,r(L) - 1 - r(\otimes^l L)$. The Poincaré-dual of the homology class $[\mathcal{M}^S]$ is

$$\mathrm{PD}([\mathcal{M}^S]) \;=\; (l\,\eta)^{r(\otimes^l L)} \qquad \in \;\; H^{2\,r(\otimes^l L)}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z})\;,$$

where η is the standard positive generator of the cohomology of projective space. It follows that the volume of the submanifold $\mathcal{M}^S$ equipped with the metric induced by the L^2-metric on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}^S,\, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}\,|_{\mathcal{M}^S}) \;=\; \frac{1}{(\dim \mathcal{M}^S)!}\int_{\mathcal{M}} (\omega_{\mathcal{M}})^{\dim \mathcal{M}^S} \wedge \mathrm{PD}([\mathcal{M}^S]) \;=\; \frac{(\pi\,\sigma)^{\dim \mathcal{M}^S}\, l^{\,r(\otimes^l L)}}{(\dim \mathcal{M}^S)!}\;.$$

5 Holomorphic maps to toric manifolds

5.1 Vortices and maps to projective space

Let M be a compact Kähler manifold and let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the (generally non-compact) space of holomorphic maps $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Here we will describe a natural embedding of $\mathcal{H}$ into a compact vortex moduli space. The necessary ingredients are the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence of Section 3.1 and a standard construction in complex algebraic geometry. Everything here is well known, at least when M is a Riemann surface [BDW]. This exposition is a preamble to Section 5.2, where we study the space of maps $M \longrightarrow X$ to more general toric targets. Nevertheless, the basic ideas already appear and are easier to grasp when the target is $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. In the final Section 5.3 we make natural conjectures about the relation between the L^2-metrics on $\mathcal{H}$ and on $\mathcal{M}$.

There is a well known construction [GH] that identifies the space of maps $\mathcal{H}$ with a subset of the space $\mathcal{M}$ of possible choices of "a holomorphic line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ plus n holomorphic sections, up to common rescaling of the sections". In fact, it is easy to see that such data $(L, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ determine a holomorphic map $f_{(L,\phi)}$ by the simple definition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(L,\phi)} :\ M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \\ x &\longmapsto \big[\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x)\big]\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where we are using homogeneous coordinates on the projective space. The map $f_{(L,\phi)}$ is globally well defined if and only if the sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ do not vanish simultaneously at any point $x \in M$. In this case $f_{(L,\phi)}$ is clearly holomorphic, for the sections ϕ_j are all holomorphic. If we denote by $H \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ the hyperplane bundle, one can also show that

$$f^*_{(L,\phi)}\, c_1(H) \;=\; c_1(L) \tag{5.2}$$

in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. So the correspondence between holomorphic maps and systems of sections of line bundles preserves topological sectors. Now denote by $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$ the (generally non-compact) subset defined be the condition that the sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ do not vanish simultaneously anywhere on M. So far we have described a map $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{H}$. We will now describe the inverse $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{I}$. This implies that the correspondence $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an injection with image $\mathcal{I}$. The inverse acts as follows: given a map $f \in \mathcal{H}$, just define $L := f^*H$ and take the n holomorphic sections to be $\phi_j := f^* s_j$, where $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ are the elements of the standard basis of $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}; H)$ provided by the n homogeneous coordinates on projective space. This correspondence has values in $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and clearly is the inverse of the correspondence (5.1).

Having described the map $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, it is natural to ask whether $\mathcal{H}$ embeds as an open and dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$. The answer is that this need not be the case. In fact, it is clear that $(L, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ belongs to the subset $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}$ precisely if the intersection $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_n$ of the associated zero-set divisors is empty. When M is Riemann surface, this is generically true, since different divisors of points do not in general intersect. For higher-dimensional M, however, the divisors have support along hypersurfaces, and the generic intersection may not be empty. For instance, when M is a projective space, we know that the codimension of the intersection of generic projective varieties is the sum of the codimensions of the varieties. This implies that for a generic choice of divisors the intersection $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_n$ is empty if and only if $n > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. So the space of holomorphic maps $\mathbb{CP}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ of positive degree embeds as an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}$ iff $n > m$.

Note that so far in this Section we have defined $\mathcal{M}$ to be the space of possible choices of "a holomorphic line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$ plus n holomorphic sections, up to common rescaling of the sections". However, as was seen in Section 3.1, this space can be identified with a vortex moduli space. It coincides with the moduli space for the GLSM with goup $U(1)$ and integer weights $Q^1_1 = \cdots = Q^1_n = 1$, assuming that the stability parameter (3.3) is positive. Regarding $\mathcal{M}$ as vortex moduli space has the advantage that it now comes equipped with a natural Kähler metric, the metric defined in (1.7) and studied in Section 4. Since the space $\mathcal{H}$ of maps also has a natural L^2-metric, one may wonder if there is any relation between these two metrics under the embedding $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$. This question was posed in [Ba4] in the case where M is a Riemann surface. In Section 5.3 we extend the arguments and conjectures of that reference to higher-dimensional M.

5.2 Vortices and maps to toric manifolds

Toric manifolds

Let X be a smooth and not necessarily compact toric manifold of complex dimension $n-k$. We will think of it as a symplectic quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by a linear, effective and hamiltonian T^k-action with integer weights Q^a_j, where the indices run as $a = 1, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. This means that X is the quotient space

$$X \ := \ \mu^{-1}(0) \,/\, T^k \ ,$$

with moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow i\mathbb{R}^k$ given by

$$\mu\,(z) \ = \ -\frac{i}{2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_j \, |z^j|^2 \ - \ \tau \Big) \ . \tag{5.3}$$

The weights Q^a_j and the constant $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^k$ should satisfy conditions similar to those of Section 3, namely:

(H1) There exists an index subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that τ can be written as a linear combination $\sum_{j \in I} \lambda^j \, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients;

(H2) For every such index subset the weights $\{Q_j : j \in I\}$ generate the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$.

These two conditions guarantee that the quotient is indeed smooth of dimension $n-k$. One can also think of X as a complex quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$. In this case one uses the complexified $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-action on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the same integer weights, and considers the so-called stable subset $B_{ss} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \cdot \mu^{-1}(0)$ of the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$. This is clearly a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-invariant subset. It follows from conditions (H1) and (H2) that B_{ss} is open and dense inside $\mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover

$$X \ = \ B_{ss} \,/ (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \ .$$

It is well known that the cohomology ring $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ of such a toric manifold is generated by a set of natural 2-classes. These are the first Chern classes of the k line bundles $H_a \longrightarrow X$. Recall that H_a is defined as the quotient of the cartesian product $\mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathbb{C}$ by the free T^k-action

$$g \cdot (z_1, \ldots, z_n;\, w) \ := \ \Big(z_1 \Big(\prod_{b=1}^{k} (g_b)^{Q_1^b} \Big), \ldots, z_n \Big(\prod_{b=1}^{k} (g_b)^{Q_n^b} \Big);\, g_a\, w \Big) \ , \tag{5.4}$$

where $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ are complex coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^n$, the ambient space of $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

Holomorphic maps as vortices

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the space of holomorphic maps $f : M \longrightarrow X$ with its usual topology. It can be broken into distinct topological components according to the values of the pulback classes $f^* c_1(H_a)$ in the integer cohomology of M. For each vector ξ in the direct sum $\oplus^k H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ the subspace of holomorphic maps f such that $f^* c_1(H_a) = \xi_a$ for all indices a. Now let $P \longrightarrow M$ be a principal T^k-bundle with $c_1(P) = \xi$. As in Section 3, we can consider the GLSM on the manifold M associated to P and the weights Q_j^a. The vortex equations for this theory are as in (3.1). For a sufficiently big value of the constant e^2, it is clear that the assumptions (H1) and (H2) above imply the analogous conditions (C1) and (C2) of Section 3.1. So in this case the vortex equations will have the nice set of solutions described in that Section. Making explicit the Chern class of the principal bundle, we will denote by $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ this vortex moduli space.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume (H1) and (H2). If the constant* e^2 *is sufficiently large there exists a natural holomorphic embedding* $\mathcal{H}_\xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$. *The image* $\mathcal{I}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ *of this map consists of the vortex solutions* $[A, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n]$ *such that, for every point* $x \in M$, *the index set* $I_{\phi(x)} = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \ \phi_j(x) \neq 0\}$ *satisfies (H1).*

Remark. It can happen that the subset $\mathcal{I}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ is empty, so in this case $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ will also be empty.

To describe the embedding, take any map $f \in \mathcal{H}_\xi$ and consider again the k holomorphic line bundles $H_a \longrightarrow X$. (Each H_a has a natural hermitian metric, so can be thought of as being a line bundle, a principal $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle, or a principal circle bundle, and we will switch between these three viewpoints.) Defining $P_a := f^* H_a$, the cartesian product $P = \times^k P_a$ is a T^k-bundle over the manifold M with first Chern class $c_1(P) = \xi$. Moreover, it is apparent from definition (5.4) that the tensor product $\otimes_{a=1}^k (H_a)^{Q_j^a} \longrightarrow X$ is a line bundle with a natural section determined by the simple rule

$$s_j : \ [z_1, \ldots, z_n] \ \longmapsto \ [z_1, \ldots, z_n;\, z_j] \ . \tag{5.5}$$

This means that each associated bundle

$$L_j \ := \ \otimes_{a=1}^k (P_a)^{Q_j^a} \ = \ f^* \big(\otimes_{a=1}^k \ (H_a)^{Q_j^a} \big)$$

has a natural holomorphic section $\phi_j := f^* s_j$. So each map $f \in \mathcal{H}_\xi$ determines a holomorphic $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-bundle $P \longrightarrow M$ and n holomorphic sections ϕ_j of the associated bundles L_j. These correspond to a unique equivalence class of vortex solutions, i.e. to a point in $\mathcal{M}_\xi$, provided that the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence of Proposition 3.3 is applicable, and this follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 5.2. *Let I_f be the index subset $\{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \ f^* s_j \not\equiv 0\}$. Then for big enough e^2 the stability parameter* (3.3) *can be written as a linear combination $\sum_{j \in I_f} \lambda^j \, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients.*

Proof. For each index $j = 1, \ldots, n$ denote by B_j the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ determined by the equation $z_j = 0$. Since our toric manifold is the quotient $X = \mu^{-1}(0)/T^k$, the intersection $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap B_j$ projects down to a (possibly empty) submanifold $X_j \subset X$. From definition (5.5) it is clear that the section s_j vanishes precisely along this submanifold X_j. In particular the pulback section $f^* s_j$ is identically zero if and only if the image $f(M)$ is contained in X_j. This means that

$$f(M) \ \subseteq \ \bigcap_{j \notin I_f} X_j \ .$$

A fairly uncontroversial consequence of this relation is that the set on the right-hand-side is not empty. Upstairs on $\mathbb{C}^n$, this means that the set $\cap_{j \notin I_f} B_j$ intersects $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Having a look at the definition (5.3) of the moment map, this clearly implies that there exists a subset $I \subset I_f$ such that it is possible to write $\tau = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda'^j \, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients. The subset I is not empty because of assumption (H2). By Lemma A.2 in the Appendix, it is also possible to write $\tau = \sum_{j \in I_f} \lambda''^j \, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients, so summing through the whole I_f. Finally, it is apparent from definition (3.3) that for sufficiently large e^2 the stability parameter σ is arbitrarily close to the value $\tau \operatorname{Vol} M$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$. Thus for big enough e^2 it is possible to write this parameter as a linear combination $\sigma = \sum_{j \in I_f} \lambda^j \, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients, as desired. ☐

We have described above how to obtain a vortex solution in $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ from a holomorphic map $f : M \longrightarrow X$. That solution is defined by putting $\phi_j = f^* s_j$. Now we have to show that it belongs to the image $\mathcal{I}_\xi$ as defined in the statement of Proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.3. *For every point $x \in M$ the index subset $I_{f(x)}$ defined as $\{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : f^* s_j(x) \neq 0\}$ satisfies (H1).*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 5.2. Using the notation and the arguments of that proof, we have that

$$f(x) \ \in \ \bigcap_{j \notin I_{f(x)}} X_j \ .$$

Upstairs on $\mathbb{C}^n$ this means that the set $\cap_{j \notin I_{f(x)}} B_j$ intersects $\mu^{-1}(0)$. The definition (5.3) of moment map and assumption (H2) imply that there exists a non-empty subset $I \subset I_{f(x)}$ that satisfies (H1). By Lemma A.2 in the Appendix, the whole $I_{f(x)}$ also satisfies (H1). ☐

So far we have constructed a map $\mathcal{H}_\xi \to \mathcal{I}_\xi$. Now we will describe its inverse, therefore showing that it is injective.

Lemma 5.4. *The map of Proposition 5.1 has a holomorphic inverse $\mathcal{I}_\xi \to \mathcal{H}_\xi$ defined on its image.*

Proof. Denote by $B_{ss} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$-invariant subset of points $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ such that the index subset $I_z := \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : \ z_j \neq 0\}$ satisfies (H1), i.e. such that the parameter τ is in the positive cone generated by $\{Q_j : j \in I_z\}$. A standard argument analogous to the one leading to equation (3.10) shows that B_{ss} is the stable set of the complex torus action on $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e that $B_{ss} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \cdot \mu^{-1}(0)$. So there is a standard holomorphic projection $\pi : B_{ss} \longrightarrow X$ to the toric quotient. A vortex solution $[A, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n]$ belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{I}_\xi$ precisely if the the images $(\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x))$ are in B_{ss} for all $x \in M$. So for any such vortex solution the composition $f_\phi := \pi \circ \phi$ is a map $M \longrightarrow X$. It is a holomorphic map because of the first vortex equation, i.e. because it is possible to find local trivializations of the line bundles such that the sections ϕ_j are all holomorphic. It is straightforward to check that the line bundles $(f_\phi)^* H_a$ have Chern class ξ_a and that, for all $x \in M$, we have

$$(f_\phi)^* s_j(x) \ = \ \phi_j(x) \ .$$

Thus the image of f_ϕ by the map $\mathcal{H}_\xi \to \mathcal{I}_\xi$ is gauge equivalent to the original vortex solution, and we have constructed the desired inverse holomorphic map $\mathcal{I}_\xi \to \mathcal{H}_\xi$. ☐

Generic vortex solutions and holomorphic maps

In this paragraph we ask whether the space $\mathcal{H}$ of holomorphic maps to toric targets embedds as an open and dense subset the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$. A general criterion for this to happen is presented. When the base M is a projective space this criterion is much simpler.

Consider the stable subset $B_{ss} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. It can be described both as the set of orbits $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k \cdot \mu^{-1}(0)$, or as the set of points $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that the parameter τ is in the positive cone generated by $\{Q_j \in \mathbb{R}^k : z_j \neq 0\}$. It is a consequence of Lemma A.2 that B_{ss} is open and dense in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$ is a union of certain coordinate planes in $\mathbb{C}^n$ of different codimensions. Each of these planes is defined by a set of equations $z_{j_1} = \cdots = z_{j_{n-l}} = 0$, where $l \geq 0$ is the complex dimension of the plane, and determines a special sub-bundle $L_{j_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{j_{n-l}} \longrightarrow M$ of the full GLSM bundle $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$. We will denote by L_{ss} the subset of the full bundle $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$ corresponding to the stable subset $B_{ss} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. In other words, as a set, L_{ss} is the full bundle minus all the special sub-bundles $L_{j_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{j_{n-l}}$ corresponding to the coordinates planes in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$.

Now, a vortex solution $(A, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ is in the image $\mathcal{I}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ of the embedding if and only if for every point $x \in M$ the value $\phi(x)$ is in the subset L_{ss}. In particular, this means that the sections $\phi_{j_1}, \ldots, \phi_{j_{n-l}}$ of the special sub-bundles $L_{j_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{j_{n-l}}$ cannot vanish simultaneously anywhere on M. So we have:

Consider the special sub-bundles $L_{j_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{j_{n-l}}$ of the full $\oplus_{j=1}^n L_j$ corresponding to the coordinates planes in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$. Then the space of holomorphic maps $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ embeds as an *open dense subset of the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ if and only if the generic holomorphic section of each of these special sub-bundles does not vanish anywhere on M.*

A more concrete result can be stated when the base M is a projective space. To make this statement, consider again the coordinate planes in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$ and the corresponding sub-bundles $L_{j_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{j_{n-l}}$. Choose one such plane, henceforth called E, with the property that the positive integer

$$s_E \ := \ \dim E \ + \ \#\big\{1 \leq s \leq n - \dim E : \ H^0(M; L_{j_s}) = 0\big\}$$

is maximal among all planes in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$. This integer is well defined, for when M is simply connected the dimension of the space of holomorphic sections $H^0(M; L_{j_s})$ is an unambiguous characteristic of the only holomorphic structure on L_{j_s}. This maximal integer s depends only on the constants Q_j^a and τ that determine the quotient X, and on the cohomology class ξ that determines the L_j's. Then we have the following result.

Proposition 5.5. *When M is a projective space, the space of holomorphic maps $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ embeds as an open dense subset of the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ if and only if $n - s_E > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$.*

Proof. As stated before, a vortex solution $(A, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ is in the image $\mathcal{I}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ of the embedding if and only if for every point $x \in M$ the value $\phi(x)$ is in the stable set B_{ss} (or, more precisely, in the subset L_{ss}). Now consider the coordinate plane E in the complement $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$ with maximal s_E. Without loss of generality, we may assume that E is defined by the set of equations $z_1 = \cdots = z_{n-l_E} = 0$, where l_E denotes the dimension of E. The solution (A, ϕ) belongs to $\mathcal{I}_\xi$ only if the sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n-l_E}$ do not have a common zero, otherwise at that point $\phi(x)$ would be in the plane E, so outside B_{ss}. Among these sections, a certain number will always be identically zero, because $H^0(M; L_s)$ may be zero. This will happen for

$$m_E \ := \ \#\big\{1 \leq s \leq n - l_E : \ H^0(M; L_s) = 0\big\}$$

sections. After relabeling if necessary, we can assume that the sections that are always zero are $\phi_{n-s_E+1}, \ldots, \phi_{n-l_E}$. The remaining sections $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n-s_E}$ will generically be non-zero, and will vanish only along a divisor in M. So the condition that $\phi(x)$ lies outside the plane E for all $x \in M$ is reduced to the condition that the intersection of the corresponding divisors $D_1 \cap \ldots \cap D_{n-s_E}$ is empty in M. When M is projective space, this will happen generically iff $n - s_E > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. If instead of E we had chosen another plane E' in the complementary set $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_{ss}$, this would not impose any new restrictions, since by definition $s'_E \leq s_E$. The conclusion is that the generic vortex solution is in $\mathcal{I}_\xi$ if and only if $n - s_E > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. ☐

Example. When the target X is also a projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1} = (\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$, the plane E is just the origin $\{0\}$. Thus $l_E = \dim E = 0$. As described in Section 5.1, the space $\mathcal{H}$ of holomorphic maps then embeds in the vortex moduli space of the GLSM with group $U(1)$ and integer weights $Q_1^1 = \cdots = Q_n^1 = 1$. In this case the line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ are all isomorphic, so if they are positive we have that $m_E = 0$ and $s = 0$. In this case $\mathcal{H}$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $n > \dim M$.

Remark. Standards results on toric manifolds say that the coordinate planes outside the stable set B_{ss} always have complex codimension at least 2 [Aud, p. 235]. In the notation used above, this implies that $n - l_E > 1$. In particular, if the line bundles $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ are positive and M is a Riemann surface, $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ always embeds as an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}_\xi$.

5.3 Conjectures about the L^2-metrics

Consider again the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ of holomorphic maps $M \longrightarrow X$ to toric targets. These spaces have a natural complex structure induced by the complex structures of the domain M and of the target X. They also have a compatible metric Kähler metric $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ determined by the norm

$$\|\mathrm{d}f\|^2_{\omega_{\mathcal{H}}} \ := \ \int_{(M,g_M)} |\mathrm{d}f|^2 \ = \ \int_{(M,g_M)} (g_M)^{\alpha\beta} \, (\partial_\alpha f^j) \, (\partial_\beta f^l) \, (g_X)_{lj} \ . \tag{5.6}$$

This is usually called the L^2-metric on $\mathcal{H}$. It is defined also for more general spaces of maps between Riemannian manifolds, not necessarily holomorphic maps. Since one can regard $\mathcal{H}$ as embedded in the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$, and the latter space has the natural L^2-metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$, it is natural to ask whether this is an isometric embedding or not. In [Ba4] it was argued that this should be the case if: **(1)** one takes the metric g_X on the toric target to be the symplectic quotient of the standard euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$ determined by the moment map (5.3), and, **(2)** one takes the strong coupling limit $e^2 \to \infty$. (Recall that both the vortex equations and the metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ on the moduli space are e^2-dependent. The moduli space itself, i.e. the complex manifold $\mathcal{M}$, is not, at least for e^2 large enough.) The heuristic arguments of that reference should hold also in the case of higher-dimension M, so we have:

Conjecture 5.6. *Take the metric on $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ induced by the metric* (1.7) *on the vortex moduli space through the embedding $\mathcal{H}_\xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\xi$ of Proposition 5.1. Then in the limit $e^2 \to \infty$ this metric converges to the natural L^2-metric* (5.6) *on $\mathcal{H}_\xi$.*

When $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ embeds as an open dense subset of the vortex moduli space, the conjecture above says that as $e^2 \to \infty$ the pointwise limit of the vortex metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ over the domain $\mathcal{H}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ coincides with the natural L^2-metric $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$. On the complement $\mathcal{M}_\xi \setminus \mathcal{H}_\xi$ the vortex metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ may very well diverge in this limit. In fact, it is clear from the vortex equation

$$\Lambda F_A \, - \, ie^2 \Big[\big(\sum_j Q_j \, |\phi^j|^2 \, \big) - \tau \Big] \ = \ 0$$

that when e^2 goes to infinity the curvature ΛF_A must explode at the points $x \in M$ where the argument of the square bracket cannot vanish, i.e. the points such that the index subset $I_{\phi(x)}$ does not satisfy (H1) (see Proposition 5.1). So ΛF_A explodes if the vortex solution is not in the image $\mathcal{H}_\xi \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$. It is then plausible that, in the limit $e^2 \to \infty$, the metric $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ also diverges outside $\mathcal{H}_\xi$. Moreover, there are known examples where the scalar curvature of $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ diverges as one approaches the boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}$. So if the conjecture is to hold, at least in these examples the limit of $\omega_{\mathcal{M}}$ must also diverge at $\partial\mathcal{H}$.

Assuming the validity of the conjecture above, one can go one step further by exchanging the limit with the volume integral and propose:

Conjecture 5.7. *When $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ embeds as an open dense subset of $\mathcal{M}_\xi$, the volume of $(\mathcal{H}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$ coincides with the limit*

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{H}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}) \;=\; \lim_{e^2 \to +\infty} \mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{M}}) \ .$$

The total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{H}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$ can also be obtained as the $e^2 \to \infty$ limit of the total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{M}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{M}})$.

This conjecture was used in [Ba4] to propose explicit formulae for $\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{H}_\xi, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$ and the total scalar curvature of $\mathcal{H}$ in the case where M is a Riemann surface and X is a projective space. The volume formula was checked by Speight through a direct computation in the special case of maps $\mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ of degree 1 [Spe]. By the same token, here we can use the results of Section 4 to propose formulae for the volume of the space of maps $M \to \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ when M is higher-dimensional.

Example

Take M to be simply connected with $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and the target X to be the projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. We take the metric on $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ to be $\pi\tau\, \omega_{FS}$, where $\tau > 0$ and ω_{FS} stands for the Fubini-Study form normalized so that its cohomology class generates $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}, \mathbb{Z})$. Any holomorphic map $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ has a well defined integer degree d. If $E \longrightarrow M$ is a positive generator of the Picard group and $H \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ is the hyperplane bundle, the degree is determined by the equation

$$f^*\, c_1(H) \;=\; d \cdot c_1(E)$$

in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Proposition 5.1 says that for large e^2 we have an embedding $\mathcal{H}_d \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$. Observe that the degree on the vortex moduli space coincides with the degree defined in Example (2) of Section 2.2, as follows from (5.2). If the degree d is negative, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_d$ is always empty, and hence so is the space of maps $\mathcal{H}_d$. If d is zero, Proposition 3.5 says that $\mathcal{M}_0 \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$, hence $\mathcal{H}_0$ is just the space of constant maps $M \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. If the degree d is positive and e^2 is large, Proposition 3.5 says that $\mathcal{M}_d \simeq \mathbb{CP}^{nr-1}$, where the integer r is the complex dimension of $H^0(M; E^d)$.

Now specialize to the case where the base $M = \mathbb{CP}^m$ is also a projective space. Then according to Proposition 5.5 and the remark following it, $\mathcal{H}_d$ will embed as an open dense subset of $\mathbb{CP}^{nr-1}$ if and only if $n > \dim M$. If this last condition is satisfied we can use the volume computations of Section 4.3, together with Conjecture 5.7, to propose the formula

$$\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{H}_d, \omega_{\mathcal{H}}) \;=\; \lim_{e^2 \to +\infty} \frac{(\pi\, \sigma)^{n\, r-1}}{\big(nr-1\big)!} \;=\; \frac{(\pi\, \tau\, \mathrm{Vol}\, M)^{n\, r-1}}{\big(nr-1\big)!} \ .$$

Here r is the integer $(m+d)!/(m!\, d!)$, and we are assuming that m and d are positive. The total scalar curvature of $(\mathcal{H}_d, \omega_{\mathcal{H}})$ should be given by

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}_d} s(\omega_{\mathcal{H}})\ \mathrm{vol}_{\mathcal{H}} \;=\; \frac{2\pi\, nr\, (nr-1)}{\big[(nr-1)!\big]^{1/(nr-1)}}\ \big(\mathrm{Vol}\ \mathcal{H}_d\big)^{(nr-2)/(nr-1)} \ .$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank CAMGSD and Project PTDC/MAT/119689/2010 of FCT - POPH/FSE for a generous fellowship.

A Appendices

A.1 Limits of the vortex equations

In this appendix we make very informal observations about the strong and weak coupling limits of the vortex equations, i.e. the limits where the constant e^2 is large or small. We will do so for the simplest abelian equations, namely equations (1.3)-(1.5) for vortices on a positive line bundle $L \longrightarrow M$.

Limit $e^2 \to \infty$

In physics this is called the strong coupling limit. Start by noting that for positive τ the stability condition (2.1) is satisfied for arbitrarily large values of e^2. This means that, as a complex manifold, the vortex moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ does not change for big and growing values of e^2. It always coincides with the space of effective divisors on $\mathcal{M}$ carrying a homology class Poincaré-dual to $c_1(L)$. Observe from expression (1.6) that, when $e^2 \to \infty$, the energy of each vortex solution tends to the finite positive constant

$$E_{vortex} \;\to\; \int_M \frac{2\pi\,\tau}{(m-1)!}\, c_1(L) \ .$$

On the other hand, looking at definition (1.1) of the functional $E(A, \phi)$, we recognize that for the energy to remain finite in this limit we must have

$$(|\phi|^2 \;-\; \tau) \;\to\; 0$$

almost everywhere on M. This is also suggested by the formal limit $e^2 \to \infty$ of the second vortex equation (1.4). Note, however, that over the effective divisor $D \subset M$ corresponding a vortex solution (A, ϕ), the section ϕ is always zero, independently of the value of e^2. So for each vortex solution the picture is that the norm $|\phi|^2$ tends to τ everywhere on M except along the corresponding divisor D, where $|\phi|^2$ remains zero. The second vortex equation

$$i\,\Lambda F_A \,+\, e^2\left(|\phi|^2 - \tau\right) \;=\; 0$$

then implies that the curvature ΛF_A must explode over D in the strong coupling limit. The ideal picture would be that the curvature gradually concentrates around the divisor as $e^2 \to \infty$. Lets now look at the volume of the moduli space in the example where M is an abelian variety. As is explained in Section 2, in this case the moduli space is a projective bundle $\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ over the Picard group. The "size" of the fibres and base of this bundle is determined by expression (4.5) for the Kähler class. As $e^2 \to \infty$, we see that the contribution of the fibres remains finite, while the contribution the base becomes smaller and smaller. So, metrically, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ becomes a projective bundle over an infinitesimally small torus.

Limit $e^2 \to 0$ with constant $e^2\,\tau$

Start by observing that if the stability condition (2.1) is satisfied for some finite values of τ and e^2, then it is also satisfied for arbitrarily small values of e^2 as long as $\tau\, e^2$ remains constant. Once again, this means that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ does not change as a complex manifold when we approach this limit. Now observe that the formal limit of the second vortex equation is in this case

$$\Lambda F_A \;-\; i\, e^2\, \tau \;=\; 0\ .$$

This suggests that, for each vortex solution, when $e^2 \to 0$ with constant $e^2\,\tau$, the connection A approaches a Hermitian-Einstein connection on $L \longrightarrow M$. If M is simply connected, there is a unique such connection, up to gauge transformations. When M is an abelian variety, the Hermitian-Einstein connections on L are parametrized by $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$. In this case $\mathcal{M}$ is a projective bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$, and we expect that the connections of the vortex solutions corresponding to the same projective fibre in $\mathcal{M}$ will all converge to the same Hermitian-Einstein connection on L. Regarding the Kähler class of $\mathcal{M}$, it follows from (2.1) and (4.5) that both the “size” of the projective fibres and the “size” of the base $\mathrm{Pic}^0 M$ diverge in the limit $e^2 \to 0$ with constant $e^2\,\tau$.

A.2 Auxiliary lemmas

In this appendix we collect two algebraic lemmas about torus actions on vector spaces. These are relevant to understand the stability condition for toric quotients and the related gauged linear sigma-models (GLSM).

Lemma A.1. *Take a constant $\tau \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) of Section 5.2 are satisfied. Then there exists an index subset $I_0 \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality k such that we can write $\tau = \sum_{j\in I_0} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients. There is no index subset of smaller cardinality with the same property.*

Proof. For each non-empty index subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ consider the closed positive cone

$$\bar{C}_I \;:=\; \big\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^k :\; v = \sum_{j\in I} \lambda^j\, Q_j \text{ with } \lambda^j \geq 0 \big\}\ .$$

Since $\tau \neq 0$, condition (H2) implies that $\tau \notin \bar{C}_I$ for any subset I of cardinality less than k. Define I' to be an index subset of cardinality $k-1$ such that the euclidean distance between τ and $\bar{C}_{I'}$ is minimal among the (positive) distances between τ and the closed cones corresponding to all index subsets of cardinality $k-1$. Call $v' \in \bar{C}_{I'}$ the vector inside this cone that minimizes the euclidean distance to τ. Then $\tau - v'$ is a non-zero vector orthogonal to v'. Observe that there exists an element $j_0 \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that the vector Q_{j_0} has positive inner product

$$Q_{j_0} \cdot (\tau - v') \;>\; 0\ . \tag{A.1}$$

If this were not true, assumption (H1) would imply that

$$0 \ \geq \ \tau \cdot (\tau - v') \ = \ (\tau - v') \cdot (\tau - v') \ ,$$

which is impossible. Taking this index j_0, consider the 1-parameter family of vectors

$$v_t \ := \ v' \ + \ t\, Q_{j_0} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \ .$$

The euclidean norm of each of these vectors is

$$\|\tau - v_t\|^2 \ = \ \|\tau - v'\|^2 \ - \ 2\,t\; Q_{j_0} \cdot (\tau - v') \ + \ t^2\, \|Q_{j_0}\|^2 \ ,$$

so from (A.1) it is clear that for small $\epsilon > 0$ the distance $\|\tau - v_\epsilon\|^2$ is strictly smaller than the distance $\|\tau - v'\|^2$. In particular j_0 must not belong to I', otherwise v_ϵ would be inside $\bar{C}_{I'}$ and this would contradict the definition of v'. So the index subset

$$I_0 \ := \ I' \cup \{j_0\}$$

has cardinality k. We will now show that τ belongs to the closed cone $\bar{C}_{I_0}$. Suppose that this was not true and that τ was outside $\bar{C}_{I_0}$. Then the closest point to τ inside $\bar{C}_{I_0}$, which we call v'', would necessarily be on the boundary $\partial \bar{C}_{I_0}$. This boundary is the union of the closed cones determined by the subsets of I_0 of cardinality $k-1$. In particular there would be a subset $I'' \subset I_0$ of cardinality $k-1$ such that $v'' \in \bar{C}_{I''}$. Therefore the distance from the cone $\bar{C}_{I''}$ to τ would be equal to or smaller than the distance from the cone $\bar{C}_{I'} \subset \bar{C}_{I_0}$ to τ. By the minimizing assumptions in the definition of I', this distance cannot be smaller, so it must be equal. Thus

$$\|\tau - v''\|^2 \ = \ \|\tau - v'\|^2$$

is the shortest distance between the cone $\bar{C}_{I_0}$ and τ. This is impossible because above we have found a vector $v_\epsilon \in \bar{C}_{I_0}$ whose squared distance to τ is strictly smaller than $\|\tau - v'\|^2$. The conclusion is that τ must belong to $\bar{C}_{I_0}$, and so be of the form $\tau = \sum_{j \in I_0} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with $\lambda^j \geq 0$. Finally, assumption (H2) guarantees that none of the coefficients λ^j is zero. ☐

Lemma A.2. *Take a constant $\tau \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) of Section 5.2 hold. Let $I \subseteq I'$ be two index subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then, if we can write $\tau = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients, we can also write $\tau = \sum_{j \in I'} \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients. In particular we can always write $\tau = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda^j\, Q_j$ with positive scalar coefficients.*

Proof. Condition (H1) says that vector τ is in the interior of the positive cone C_I generated by the weights $\{Q_j \in \mathbb{R}^k : \ j \in I\}$. Condition (H2) says that this cone is k-dimensional. So for small enough positive coefficients δ^j, the vector $\tau' = \tau - \sum_{j \in I' \setminus I} \delta^j\, Q_j$ is still in the interior of C_I, and hence we can write $\tau' = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda'^j\, Q_j$ for some choice of positive coefficients λ'^j . Thus

$$\tau \ = \ \sum_{j \in I} \lambda'^j\, Q_j \ + \ \sum_{j \in I' \setminus I} \delta^j\, Q_j \ ,$$

as desired. To prove the last assertion, just note that by assumption condition (H1) is satisfied by some index subset of $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and hence the assertion follows from the first part of the lemma. ☐

References

[Aud] M. Audin: *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics **93**, 2ed, Birkhauser, 2003.

[Ban] D. Banfield: Stable pairs and principal bundles, *Q. J. Math.* **51** (2000), 417–436.

[Ba1] J. Baptista: A topological gauged sigma-model, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005), 1007–1047.

[Ba2] J. Baptista: Vortex equations in abelian gauged sigma-models, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **261** (2006), 161–194.

[Ba3] J. Baptista: Non-abelian vortices on compact Riemann surfaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **291** (2009), 799–812.

[Ba4] J. Baptista: On the L^2-metric of vortex moduli spaces, *Nucl. Phys. B* **844** (2011), 308–333.

[BBR] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo and D. Ruipérez: *Fourier-Moukai and Nahm transforms in geometry and mathematical physics*, Progress in Mathematics **276**, Birkhauser, 2009.

[BDW] A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth: Gromov invariants for holomorphic maps from Riemann surfaces to grassmannians, *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 529–571.

[BS] I. Biswas and G. Schumacher: Coupled vortex equations and moduli: deformation theoretic approach and Kähler geometry, *Math. Ann.* **343** (2009), 825–851.

[BT] R. Bott and L. Tu: *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **82**, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[Bra] S. Bradlow: Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990), 1–17.

[BDGW] S. Bradlow, G. Daskalopoulos, O. García-Prada and R. Wentworth: Stable augmented bundles over Riemann surfaces, *Vector bundles in algebraic geometry*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **208**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[Bri] M. Brion: Homogeneous projective bundles over abelian varieties, arXiv: 1104.0818v3.

[CGMS] K. Cieliebak, R. Gaio, I. Mundet i Riera and D. Salamon: The symplectic vortex equations and invariants of Hamiltonian group actions, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **1** (2002), 543–645.

[EINOS] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai: Solitons in the Higgs phase – the moduli matrix approach –, *J. Phys.* **A39** (2006), R315–R392.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris: *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley, 1978.

[Har] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[HP] W. Hodge and D. Pedoe: *Methods of algebraic geometry Vol. II*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.

[Huy] D. Huybrechts: *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, 2006.

[JT] A. Jaffe and C. Taubes: *Vortices and monopoles*, Birkhauser, 1980.

[KW] J. Kazdan and F. Warner: Curvature Functions for Compact 2-Manifolds, *Annals of Math.* **99** (1974), 14–47.

[Ko] S. Kobayashi: *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton Univ. Press, 1987.

[H-al] K. Hori, S. Katz, A. Klemm, R. Pandharipande, R. Thomas, C. Vafa, R. Vakil and E. Zaslow: *Mirror symmetry*, Amer. Math. Soc. and Clay Math. Institute, 2003.

[MN] N. Manton and S. Nasir: Volume of vortex moduli spaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **199** (1999), 591–604.

[MS] N. Manton and P. Sutcliffe: *Topological Solitons*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.

[MP] D. Morrison and M. Plesser: Summing the instantons: quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry in toric varieties; *Nucl. Phys.* **B440** (1995), 279–354.

[Mu] I. Mundet i Riera: A Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for Kähler fibrations, *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **528** (2000), 41–80.

[NS] N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili: Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz. *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **192-193** (2009), 91–112.

[Pe] T. Perutz: Symplectic fibrations and the abelian vortex equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **278** (2008), 289–306.

[Sa] Y. Sakane: On hypersurfaces of a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n,n}(C)$, *Osaka J. Math.* **16** (1979), 71–95.

[Spe] J.M. Speight: The volume of the space of holomorphic maps from S^2 to CP^k, *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011), 77–84.

[Tha] M. Thaddeus: Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula, *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), 317-353.

[We] J. Wehrheim: Vortex invariants and toric manifolds, arXiv: 0812.0299.

[Wi] E. Witten: Phases of N=2 theories in two dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993), 159–222.

[Wo] C. Woodward: Quantum Kirwan morphism and Gromov-Witten invariants of quotients, arXiv: 1204.1765.

[Zi] F. Ziltener: A quantum Kirwan map: bubbling and Fredholm theory of symplectic vortices over the plane, arXiv: 1209.5866.

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY, AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS (CAMGSD), INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, AV. ROVISCO PAIS, 1049-001 LISBON, PORTUGAL

Email address: `joao.o.baptista@gmail.com`